arXiv:math/0505258v1 [math.OA] 12 May 2005

Markov shift in non-commutative probability -II

Anilesh Mohari

S.N.Bose Center for Basic Sciences,

JD Block, Sector-3, Calcutta-98

E-mail:anilesh@boson.bose.res.in

Abstract

We study asymptotic behavior of a Markov semigroup on a von-Neumann algebra by exploring a maximal von-Neumann subalgebra where the Markov semigroup is an automorphism. This enables us to prove that strong mixing is equivalent to ergodic property for continuous time Markov semigroup on a type-I von-Neumann algebra with center completely atomic. For discrete time dynamics we prove that an aperiodic ergodic Markov semigroup on a type-I von-Neumann algebra with center completely atomic is strong mixing. There exists a tower of isomorphic von-Neumann algebras generated by the weak Markov process and a unique up to isomorphism minimal dilated quantum dynamics of endomorphisms associated with the Markov semigroup. The dilated endomorphism is pure in the sense of Powers if and only if the adjoint Markov semigroup satisfies Kolmogorov property. As an application of our general results we find a necessary and sufficient condition for a translation invariant state on a quantum spin chain to be pure. We also find a tower of type-II_1 factors canonically associated with the canonical conditional expectation on a sub-factor of a type-II_1 factor. This tower of factors unlike Jones's tower do not preserve index. This gives a sequence of Jones's numbers as an invariance for the inclusion of a finite sub-factor of a type-II_1 factor.

1 Introduction:

Let $\tau = (\tau_t,\ t \ge 0)$ be a semigroup of identity preserving completely positive normal maps [Da,BR] on a von-Neumann algebra $\mathcal{A}_0$ acting on a separable Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_0$, where either the parameter $t \in R_+$, the set of positive real numbers or Z^+, the set of positive integers. In case $t \in R_+$, i.e. continuous, we assume that for each $x \in \mathcal{A}_0$ the map $t \longrightarrow \tau_t(x)$ is continuous in the weak* topology. Thus variable $t \in \mathbb{T}_+$ where $\mathbb{T}$ is either $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{N}$. We assume further that (τ_t) admits a normal invariant state ϕ_0, i.e. $\phi_0 \tau_t = \phi_0 \forall t \ge 0$. We continue our investigation [Mo1] on asymptotic behavior of the quantum dynamical semigroup $(\mathcal{A}_0, \tau_t, \phi_0)$ and associated minimal dilated processes.

In section 2 we investigate asymptotic behavior of the quantum dynamical semigroup $(\tau_t\ t \ge 0)$ on $\mathcal{A}_0$. We say (τ_t) is *ergodic* if $\{x : \tau_t(x) = x,\ t \ge 0\} = \{zI, z \in \mathbb{C}\}$ and a normal state ϕ_0 is *invariant* if $\phi_0(\tau_t(x)) = \phi_0(x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{A}_0, t \ge 0$. A normal state ϕ_0 is an *equilibrium or strongly mixing* state if $\phi\tau_t(x) \rightarrow \phi_0(x)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ for all $x \in \mathcal{A}_0$ and normal state ϕ on $\mathcal{A}_0$. Let p be the support projection of the state ϕ_0 in $\mathcal{A}_0$. p is the minimal element in $\mathcal{A}_0$ so that $\phi_0(x) = \phi_0(pxp)$. Thus p is a *sub-harmonic* projection (i.e. $\tau_t(p) \ge p$) for (τ_t) and $\tau_t(x)p = \tau_t(xp)p$ for all $x \in \mathcal{A}_0$. We define the *reduced Markov semigroup* $(\mathcal{A}_0^p, \tau_t^p, \phi_0^p)$ by $\tau_t^p(x) = p\tau_t(pxp)p$ for all $x \in \mathcal{A}_0^p$, where $\mathcal{A}_0^p = p\mathcal{A}_0 p$. Let y be the strong limit of $\tau_t(p)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. So $\tau_t(y) = y$ for all $t \ge 0$. Thus $(\mathcal{A}_0, \tau_t, \phi_0)$ is ergodic if and only if $\tau_t(p) \uparrow I$ and $(\mathcal{A}_0^p, \tau_t^p, \phi_0^p)$ is ergodic (See Theorem 3.6 in [Mo1]). Similar result is also for strong mixing (see Theorem 3.12 in [Mo1]). All these results suggest while studying asymptotic behavior, there is no charm lost in assuming that the invariant normal state is faithful. In this section we aim to refine various sufficient conditions proved in [Mo1] for strong mixing.

In case ϕ_0 is faithful, normal and invariant for (τ_t), we recall [Mo1] that $\mathcal{G} = \{x \in \mathcal{A}_0 : \tilde{\tau}_t \tau_t(x) = x,\ t \geq 0\}$ is von-Neumann sub-algebra of $\mathcal{F} = \{x \in \mathcal{A}_0 : \tau_t(x^*)\tau_t(x) = \tau_t(x^*x), \tau_t(x)\tau_t(x^*) = \tau_t(xx^*)\ \forall t \geq 0\}$ and the equality $\mathcal{G} = \mathbb{C}$ is a sufficient condition for ϕ_0 to be strong mixing for (τ_t). Since the backward process [AM] is related with the forward process via an anti-unitary operator we note that ϕ_0 is strongly mixing for (τ_t) if and only if same hold for $(\tilde{\tau}_t)$. We can also check this fact by exploring faithfulness of ϕ_0 and the adjoint relation [OP]. Thus $\mathbb{C} \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{G}} \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ and equality $\mathbb{C} = \tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ is also a sufficient condition for strong mixing where $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ are von-Neumann algebras associated with $(\tilde{\tau}_t)$. Thus we find two competing criteria for strong mixing. However it is not clear whether $\mathcal{F} = \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ or $\mathcal{G} = \tilde{\mathcal{G}}$. Since given a dynamics it is difficult to describe $(\tilde{\tau}_t)$ explicitly this criterion $\mathcal{G} = \mathbb{C}$ is rather non-transparent. We prove that $\mathcal{G} = \{x \in \mathcal{F} : \tau_t\sigma_s(x) = \sigma_s\tau_t(x),\ \forall t \geq 0.\ s \in \mathbb{R}\}$ where $\sigma = (\sigma_s :\ s \in \mathbb{R})$ is the Tomita's modular auto-morphism group [BR,OP] associated with ϕ_0. So $\mathcal{G}$ is the maximal von-Neumann sub-algebra of $\mathcal{A}_0$, where (τ_t) is an $*$-endomorphism [Ar], invariant by the modular auto-morphism group (σ_s). Moreover $\sigma_s(\mathcal{G}) = \mathcal{G}$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\tilde{\tau}_t(\mathcal{G}) = \mathcal{G}$ for all $t \geq 0$. Thus by a theorem of Takesaki [OP], there exists a norm one projection $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{G}}$ from $\mathcal{A}_0$ onto $\mathcal{G}$ which preserves ϕ_0 i.e. $\phi_0\mathbb{E} = \phi_0$. Exploring the fact that $\tilde{\tau}_t(\mathcal{G}) = \mathcal{G}$, we also conclude that the conditional expectation $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{G}}$ commutes with (τ_t). This enables us to prove that $(\mathcal{A}_0, \tau_t, \phi_0)$ is ergodic (strongly mixing) if and only if $(\mathcal{G}, \tau_t, \phi_0)$ is ergodic (strongly mixing). Though $\tau_t(\mathcal{G}) \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ for all $t \geq 0$, equality may not hold in general. However we have

$$\bigcap_{t \geq 0} \tau_t(\mathcal{G}) = \bigcap_{t \geq 0} \tilde{\tau}_t(\tilde{\mathcal{G}})$$

where $\tilde{\mathcal{G}} = \{x \in \mathcal{A}_0 : \tau_t(\tilde{\tau}_t(x)) = x,\ t \geq 0\}$. $\mathcal{G} = \tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ holds if and only if $\tau_t(\mathcal{G}) = \mathcal{G},\ \tilde{\tau}_t(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}) = \tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ for all $t \geq 0$. Thus $\mathcal{G}_0 = \bigcap_{t \geq 0} \tau_t(\mathcal{G})$ is the maximal von-Neumann sub-algebra invariant by the modular automorphism so that

$(\mathcal{G}_0, \tau_t, \phi_0)$ is an $*-$automorphisms with $(\mathcal{G}_0, \tilde{\tau}_t, \phi_0)$ as it's inverse dynamics. Once more there exists a conditional expectation $I\!E_{\mathcal{G}_0} : \mathcal{A}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_0$ onto $\mathcal{G}_0$ commuting with (τ_t). This ensures that $(\mathcal{A}_0, \tau_t, \phi_0)$ is ergodic (strongly mixing) if and only if $(\mathcal{G}_0, \tau_t, \phi_0)$ is ergodic (strongly mixing). It is clear now that $\mathcal{G}_0 = \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_0$, thus $\mathcal{G}_0 = I\!\!C$, a criterion for strong mixing, is symmetric or time-reversible. Exploring the criterion $\mathcal{G}_0 = I\!\!C$ we also prove that for a type-I factor $\mathcal{A}_0$ with center completely atomic, strong mixing is equivalent to ergodicity when the time variable is continuous i.e. R_+ (Theorem 2.4). This result in particular extends a result proved by Arveson [Ar] for type-I finite factor. In general, for discreet time dynamics $(\mathcal{A}_0, \tau, \phi_0)$, ergodicity does not imply strong mixing property (not a surprise fact since we have many classical cases). We prove that τ on a type-I von-Neumann algebra $\mathcal{A}_0$ with completely atomic center is strong mixing if and only if it is ergodic and the point spectrum of τ in the unit circle i.e. $\{w \in S^1 : \tau(x) = wx \ \ \text{for some non zero} \ \ xin\mathcal{A}_0\}$ is trivial.

In section 3 we consider the unique up to isomorphism minimal forward weak Markov [AM,Mo1] stationary process $\{j_t(x), \ t \in I\!\!T, \ x \in \mathcal{A}_0\}$ associated with $(\mathcal{A}_0, \tau_t, \phi_0)$. We set a family of isomorphic von-Neumann algebras $\{\mathcal{A}_{[t} : t \in I\!\!T\}$ generated by the forward process so that $\mathcal{A}_{[t} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{[s}$ whenever $s \le t$. In this framework we construct a unique modulo unitary equivalence minimal dilation $(\mathcal{A}_{[0}, \alpha_t, \ t \ge 0, \phi)$, where $\alpha = (\alpha_t : t \ge 0)$ is a semigroup of $*-$endomorphism on a von-Neumann algebra $\mathcal{A}_{[0}$ acting on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{[0}$ with a normal invariant state ϕ and a projection P in $\mathcal{A}_{[0}$ so that

(a) $P\mathcal{A}_{[0}P = \pi(\mathcal{A}_0)''$;

(b) $\Omega \in \mathcal{H}_{[0}$ is a unit vector so that $\phi(X) =< \Omega, X\Omega >$;

(b) $P\alpha_t(X)P = \pi(\tau_t(PXP))$ for $t \ge 0, \ X \in \mathcal{A}_{[0}$;

(c) $\{\alpha_{t_n}(PX_nP).....\alpha_{t_3}(PX_3P)\alpha_{t_2}(PX_2P)\alpha_{t_1}(PX_1P)\Omega : \quad 0 \le t_1 \le t_2.. \le$

$t_n,\ n \geq 1\}, X_i \in \mathcal{A}_{[0}\}$ is total in $\mathcal{H}_{[0}$,

where π is the GNS representation of $\mathcal{A}_0$ associated with the state ϕ_0. We end this section with a criterion for the inductive limit state associated with a C^* algebra valued quantum dynamical semigroup $(\mathcal{B}_0, \lambda_t : t \geq 0, \psi)$ of endomorphisms to be pure. To that end we explore the minimal weak Markov process associated with the reduced Markov semigroup on the corner algebra of the support projection and prove that the inductive limit state is pure if and only if the Markov semigroup satisfies Kolmogorov's property introduced in [Mo1].

In section 4 we deal with only faithful ψ_0 and prove that $\mathcal{A}_{[t}$ is a factor if and only if $\mathcal{A}_0$ is a factor. Moreover $\mathcal{A}_{[t}$ is a type-I (type-II, type-III) factor if and only if $\mathcal{A}_0$ is also type-I (type-II, type- III) respectively. In particular we construct a class of complete boolean algebra of factors [AW]. In section 5 we find a product system [Ar] when $\mathcal{A}_0$ is a type-I factor and construct a class of complete boolean algebra of type-I factors [AW] appearing canonically with a continuous tensor product of Hilbert spaces.

Section 6 includes an application of results proved in section 3. We consider a translation invariant extremal state ω' on UHF$_d$ algebra $\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} M_d$ and choose an element $\psi \in K_\omega$, where $K_\omega = \{\psi : \psi$ is a state on $\mathcal{O}_d$ such that $\psi\lambda = \psi$ and $\psi_{|\mathrm{UHF}_d} = \omega\}$, λ is the canonical endomorphism on $\mathcal{O}_d$ and ω is the restriction of ω'. Let $(\mathcal{H}_\pi, \pi, \Omega)$ be the GNS representation of $(\mathcal{O}_d, \psi)$. Then $(\mathcal{H}, S_i, P, V_i, \Omega)$ is a Popescu system [BJKW], where P is the support projection of the state $\psi_\Omega(X) =< \Omega, X\Omega >$ on the von-Neumann algebra $\pi(\mathcal{O}_d)''$, $S_i = \pi(s_i)$ and $V_i = PS_iP$. Let Q be the support projection of the state ψ_Ω on the von-Neumann algebra $\{S_I S_J^* : |I| = |J| < \infty\}''$ and $\mathcal{A}_0 = QS_I S_J^* Q : |I| = |J| < \infty\}$. We also set $l_k = QS_kQ$ for all $1 \leq k \leq d$ and define Markov semigroup τ on $\mathcal{A}_0$ by $\tau(x) = \sum_i l_i x l_i^*$. The normal state ψ_0, defined by $\psi_0(x) = \psi(QxQ)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{A}_0$, is faithful normal and invariant for τ. We explore Kolmogorov's property of the minimal weak Markov process associated with $(\mathcal{A}_0, \tau_n, \psi_0)$ is a necessary and sufficient condition for ω' to be pure. The result here is more general then what initiated and developed in [FNW1,FNW2, BJKW] for translational invariant state on quantum spin chain. The theory is further developed when the state is in detailed balance [Mo3] and applied to study behaviour of the ground state for well known examples.

In section 7 we investigate the tower $\mathcal{A}_{[t}$ of factors when $\mathcal{A}_0$ is type-II$_1$. In such a case each $\mathcal{A}_{[t}$ is either identical and isomorphic to $\mathcal{A}_0$ or is a type-II$_\infty$ factor. Moreover $j_0(I)$ is a finite projection in $\mathcal{A}_{[-t}$ for each $t \geq 0$. Thus we find a canonical tower of type-II$_1$ factors $\mathcal{M}_s \subseteq \mathcal{M}_t$ for $s \leq t$, where $\mathcal{M}_t = j_0(I)\mathcal{A}_{[-t}j_0(I),\ t \geq 0$, acting on the Hilbert subspace $j_0(I)$. One natural question that appears interesting: How Jones's tower of type-II$_1$ factors is related with the tower $\{\mathcal{M}_t : t \geq 0\}$? Can we recover Jones's tower by choosing an appropriate dynamics $(\mathcal{A}_0, \tau, \phi_0)$ in discreet time variable? To that end let $\mathcal{B}_0$ be a proper finite sub-factor of $\mathcal{A}_0$ and ϕ_0 be the unique normalize trace. We consider the representation of $\mathcal{A}_0$ by left multiplication on $L^2(\mathcal{A}_0, \phi_0)$ and a conditional expectation τ on $\mathcal{B}_0$ defined by $\tau(x) = E_0 x E_0$, where E_0 is the projection in $L^2(\mathcal{A}_0, \phi_0)$ generated by vectors in $\mathcal{B}_0$. We prove that $\mathcal{A}_1 = \{\mathcal{A}_0, E_0\}''$ is isomorphic to a proper von-Neumann sub-algebra of $\mathcal{M}_1$ associated with $(\mathcal{A}_0, \tau, \phi_0)$. Thus $[\mathcal{M}_1 : \mathcal{M}_0] > [\mathcal{A}_0 : \mathcal{B}_0]$. So the canonical tower $\mathcal{M}_k \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{k+1}$ of type-II$_1$ factors appears here is different from that of Jones's [Jo]. The sequence $\{[\mathcal{M}_k : \mathcal{M}_{k-1}] : \quad k \geq 0\}$ of Jones index is an invariance for the inclusion of the sub-factors. A detailed study, needs to be done to explore this new invariance, which seems to be an interesting problem!

2 Time-reverse Markov semigroup and asymptotic properties:

Following [OP,AM], we consider the unique Markov map $\tilde{\tau}$ on $\mathcal{A}_0$ which satisfies the following adjoint relation

$$\phi_0(\sigma_{1/2}(x)\tau(y)) = \phi_0(\tilde{\tau}(x)\sigma_{-1/2}(y)) \tag{2.1}$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}_0$ analytic elements for the Tomita's modular automorphism $(\sigma_t :\ t \in I\!\!R)$ associated with a faithful normal invariant state for a Markov map τ on $\mathcal{A}_0$. For more details we refer to the monograph [OP]. We also quote now [OP, Proposition 8.4] the following proposition without a proof.

PROPOSITION 2.1: Let τ be an unital completely positive normal maps on a von-Neumann algebra $\mathcal{A}_0$ and ϕ_0 be a faithful normal invariant state for τ. Then the following conditions are equivalent for $x \in \mathcal{A}_0$:
(a) $\tau(x^*x) = \tau(x^*)\tau(x)$ and $\sigma_s(\tau(x)) = \tau(\sigma_s(x)),\ \forall\ s \in R$;
(b) $\tilde{\tau}\tau(x) = x$.
Moreover τ restricted to the sub-algebra $\{x : \tilde{\tau}\tau(x) = x\}$ is an isomorphism onto the sub-algebra $\{x \in \mathcal{A}_0 : \tau\tilde{\tau}(x) = x\}$ where (σ_s) be the modular automorphism on $\mathcal{A}_0$ associated with ϕ_0.

PROPOSITION 2.2: Let $(\mathcal{A}_0, \tau_t, \phi_0)$ be a quantum dynamical system and ϕ_0 be faithful invariant normal state for (τ_t). Then the following hold:
(a) $\mathcal{G} = \{x \in \mathcal{A}_0 : \tau_t(x^*x) = \tau_t(x^*)\tau_t(x),\ \tau_t(xx^*) = \tau_t(x)\tau_t(x^*),\ \sigma_s(\tau_t(x)) = \tau_t(\sigma_s(x)),\ \forall\ s \in R,\ t \geq 0\}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ is $\sigma = (\sigma_s :\ s \in R)$ invariant and commuting with $\tau = (\tau_t : t \geq 0)$ on $\mathcal{G}$. Moreover for all $t \geq 0$, $\tilde{\tau}_t(\mathcal{G}) = \mathcal{G}$ and the conditional expectation $E_{\mathcal{G}} : \mathcal{A}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_0$ onto $\mathcal{G}_0$ commutes with (τ_t).
(b) There exists a unique maximal von-Neumann algebra $\mathcal{G}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{G} \bigcap \tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ so that

$\sigma_t(\mathcal{G}_0) = \mathcal{G}_0$ for all $t \in R$ and $(\mathcal{G}_0, \tau_t, \phi_0)$ is an automorphism where for any $t \geq 0$, $\tilde{\tau}_t \tau_t = \tau_t \tilde{\tau}_t = 1$ on $\mathcal{G}_0$. Moreover the conditional expectation $E_{\mathcal{G}_0} : \mathcal{A}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_0$ onto $\mathcal{G}_0$ commutes with (τ_t) and $(\tilde{\tau}_t)$.

PROOF: The first part of (a) is a trivial consequence of Proposition 2.1 once we note that $\mathcal{G}$ is closed under the action $x \rightarrow x^*$. For the second part we recall [Mo1] that $\phi_0(x^* J x J) - \phi_0(\tau_t(x^*) J \tau_t(x) J)$ is monotonically increasing with t and thus for each $t \geq 0$ if $\tilde{\tau}_t \tau_t(x) = x$ then $\tilde{\tau}_s \tau_s(x) = x$ for all $0 \leq s \leq t$. So the sequence $\mathcal{G}_t = \{x \in \mathcal{A}_0 : \tilde{\tau}_t \tau_t(x) = x\}$ of von-Neumann sub-algebras decreases to $\mathcal{G}$ as t increases to ∞ i.e. $\mathcal{G} = \bigcap_{t \geq 0} \mathcal{G}_t$. Similarly we also have $\tilde{\mathcal{G}} = \bigcap_{t \geq 0} \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_t$.

Since $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_t$ monotonically decreases to $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ as t increases to infinity for any $s \geq 0$ we have $\tau_s(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}) = \bigcap_{t \geq 0} \tau_s(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_t)$.

Now we verify that $\bigcap_{s \geq r} \tau_s(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}) = \bigcap_{s \geq r} \bigcap_{t \geq 0} \tau_{s+t}(\mathcal{G}_t) = \bigcap_{t \geq 0} \bigcap_{s \geq r} \tau_{s+t}(\mathcal{G}_t) = \bigcap_{t \geq r} \bigcap_{0 \leq s \leq t} \tau_t(\mathcal{G}_s)$, where we have used $\tau_t(\mathcal{G}_t) = \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_t$. Since $\mathcal{G}_t$ are monotonically decreasing with t we also note that $\bigcap_{0 \leq s \leq t} \tau_t(\mathcal{G}_s) = \tau_t(\mathcal{G}_t)$. Hence for any $r \geq 0$

$$\bigcap_{s \geq r} \tau_s(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}) = \tilde{\mathcal{G}} \tag{2.2}$$

From (2.2) with $r = 0$ we get $\tilde{\mathcal{G}} \subseteq \tau_t(\tilde{\mathcal{G}})$ for all $t \geq 0$. For any $t \geq 0$ we also have $\tau_t(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}) \subseteq \bigcap_{s \geq t} \tau_s(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}) = \tilde{\mathcal{G}}$. Hence we conclude $\tau_t(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}) = \tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ for any $t \geq 0$. By symmetry $\tilde{\tau}_t(\mathcal{G}) = \mathcal{G}$ for any $t \geq 0$.

Since $\mathcal{G}$ is invariant under the modular automorphism (σ_s) by a theorem of Takesaki [AC] there exists a norm one projection $E_{\mathcal{G}} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ with range equal to $\mathcal{G}$. We claim that $E_{\mathcal{G}}$ commutes with (τ_t). To that end we verify for any $x \in \mathcal{A}_0$ and $y \in \mathcal{G}$ the following equalities:

$$< J_{\mathcal{G}} y J_{\mathcal{G}} \omega_0, E_{\mathcal{G}}(\tau_t(x)) \omega_0 >=< J_0 y J_0 \omega_0, \tau_t(x) \omega_0 >$$

$$=< J_0 \tilde{\tau}_t(y) J_0 \omega_0, x \omega_0 >=< J_{\mathcal{G}} \tilde{\tau}_t(y) J_{\mathcal{G}} \omega_0, E_{\mathcal{G}}(x) \omega_0 >$$

$$=< J_{\mathcal{G}} y J_{\mathcal{G}} \omega_0, \tau_t(E_{\mathcal{G}}(x))\omega_0) >$$

where we used the fact that $\tilde{\tau}(\mathcal{G}) = \mathcal{G}$ for the third equality and range of $I\!\!E_{\mathcal{G}}$ is indeed $\mathcal{G}$ is used for the last equality. This completes the proof of (a).

Now for any $s \geq 0$ we note that $\tilde{\tau}_s(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}) = \bigcap_{t \geq s} \tilde{\tau}_s(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_t) = \bigcap_{t \geq s} \tilde{\tau}_s \tau_t(\mathcal{G}_t) = \bigcap_{t \geq s} \tilde{\tau}_s \tau_s(\tau_{t-s}(\mathcal{G}_t))) = \bigcap_{t \geq s} \tau_{t-s}(\mathcal{G}_t) = \bigcap_{t \geq 0} \tau_t(\mathcal{G}_{s+t})$ where we have used the fact that $\tau_{t-s}(\mathcal{G}_t) \subseteq \mathcal{G}_s$. Thus we have $\bigcap_{s \geq 0} \tau_s(\mathcal{G}) \subseteq \bigcap_{s \geq 0} \tilde{\tau}_s(\tilde{\mathcal{G}})$. By the dual symmetry, we conclude the reverse inclusion and hence

$$\bigcap_{s \geq 0} \tau_s(\mathcal{G}) = \bigcap_{s \geq 0} \tilde{\tau}_s(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}) \tag{2.3}$$

We set $\mathcal{G}_0 = \bigcap_{s \geq 0} \tau_s(\mathcal{G})$. Thus $\mathcal{G}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ and also $\mathcal{G}_0 \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ by (2.3) and for each $t \geq 0$ we have $\tau_t \tilde{\tau}_t = \tilde{\tau}_t \tau_t = 1$ on $\mathcal{G}_0$. Since $\tau_s(\mathcal{G})$ is monotonically decreasing, we also note that $\tau_t(\mathcal{G}_0) = \bigcap_{s \geq 0} \tau_{s+t}(\mathcal{G}) = \mathcal{G}_0$. Similarly $\tilde{\tau}_t(\mathcal{G}_0) = \mathcal{G}_0$ by (2.3). That $\mathcal{G}_0$ is invariant by the modular group σ follows since $\mathcal{G}$ is invariant by $\sigma = (\sigma_t)$ which is commuting with $\tau = (\tau_t)$ on $\mathcal{G}$. Same is also true for $(\tilde{\tau}_t)$ by (2.3). By Takesaki's theorem [AC] once more we guarantee that there exists a conditional expectation $E_{\mathcal{G}_0} : \mathcal{A}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_0$ with range equal to $\mathcal{G}_0$. Since $\tilde{\tau}_t(\mathcal{G}_0) = \mathcal{G}_0$, once more by repeating the above argument we conclude that $E_{\mathcal{G}_0} \tau_t = \tau_t E_{\mathcal{G}_0}$ on $\mathcal{A}_0$. Since we also have $\tau_t(\mathcal{G}_0) = \mathcal{G}_0$, by symmetry of the argument $E_{\mathcal{G}_0}$ is also commuting with $\tilde{\tau} = (\tilde{\tau}_t)$ ■

We have the following reduction theorem.

THEOREM 2.3: Let $(\mathcal{A}_0, \tau_t, \phi_0)$ be as in Proposition 2.2. Then the following statements are equivalent:

(a) $(\mathcal{A}_0, \tau_t, \phi_0)$ is strong mixing (ergodic);

(b) $(\mathcal{G}, \tau_t, \phi_0)$ is strong mixing (ergodic);

(c) $(\mathcal{G}_0, \tau_t, \phi_0)$ is strong mixing (ergodic).

PROOF: That (a) implies (b) is obvious. By Proposition 2.2. we have $E_{\mathcal{G}}\tau_t(x) = \tau_t E_{\mathcal{G}}(x)$ for any $x \in \mathcal{A}_0$ and $t \geq 0$. Fix any $x \in \mathcal{A}_0$. Let x_∞ be any weak* limit point of the net $\tau_t(x)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ which is an element in $\mathcal{G}$ [Mo1]. In case (b) is true, we find that $x_\infty = E_{\mathcal{G}}(x_\infty) = \phi_0(E_{\mathcal{G}}(x)) = \phi_0(x)1$. Thus $\phi_0(x)1$ is the unique limit point, hence weak* limit of $\tau_t(x)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ is $\phi_0(x)1$. The equivalence statement for ergodicity also follows along the same line since the conditional expectation $E_{\mathcal{I}}$ on the the von-Neumann algebra $\mathcal{I} = \{x : \tau_t(x) = x,\ t \geq 0\}$ commutes with (τ_t) and thus satisfies $E_{\mathcal{I}}E_{\mathcal{G}} = E_{\mathcal{G}}E_{\mathcal{I}} = E_{\mathcal{I}}$. This completes the proof that (a) and (b) are equivalent. That (b) and (c) are equivalent follows essentially along the same line since once more there exists a conditional expectation from $\mathcal{G}$ to $\mathcal{G}_0$ commuting with (τ_t) and any weak* limit point of the net $\tau_t(x)$ as t diverges to infinity belongs to $\tau_s(\mathcal{G})$ for each $s \geq 0$, thus in $\mathcal{G}_0$. We omit the details. ■

Now we investigate asymptotic behavior for quantum dynamical system dropping the assumption that ϕ_0 is faithful. Let p be a sub-harmonic projection in $\mathcal{A}_0$ for (τ_t) i.e. $\tau_t(p) \geq p$ for all $t \geq 0$. Then $(\mathcal{A}_0^p, \tau_t^p, \phi_0^p)$ is a quantum dynamical semigroup where $\mathcal{A}_0^p = p\mathcal{A}_0 p$ and $\tau_t^p(x) = p\tau_t(pxp)p$ for $x \in \mathcal{A}_0^p$ and $\phi_0^p(x) = \phi_0(pxp)$. In [Mo1] we have explored how ergodicity (strong mixing) of the original dynamics can be determined by that of the reduced dynamics. Here we add one more result in that line of investigation.

THEOREM 2.4: Let $(\mathcal{A}_0, \tau_t, \phi_0)$ be a quantum dynamical systems with a normal invariant state ϕ_0 and p be a sub-harmonic projection for (τ_t). If s-limit$_{t \rightarrow \infty}\tau_t(p) = 1$ then the following statements are equivalent:

(a) $||\phi\tau_t - \phi_0|| \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ for any normal state on ϕ on $\mathcal{A}_0$.

(b) $||\phi^p\tau_t^p - \phi_0^p|| \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ for any normal state ϕ^p on $\mathcal{A}_0^p$.

PROOF: That (a) implies (b) is trivial. For the converse we write

$||\phi\tau_t - \phi_0|| = \mathrm{sup}_{x:||x||\le 1}|\phi\tau_t(x) - \phi_0(x)| \le \mathrm{sup}_{\{x:||x||\le 1\}}|\phi\tau_t(pxp) - \phi_0(pxp)| + \mathrm{sup}_{\{x:||x||\le 1\}}|\phi\tau_t(pxp^{\perp})| + \mathrm{sup}_{\{x:||x||\le 1\}}|\phi\tau_t(p^{\perp}xp)| + \mathrm{sup}_{\{x:||x||\le 1\}}|\phi\tau_t(p^{\perp}xp^{\perp})|$. Since $\tau_t((1-p)x) \rightarrow 0$ in the weak* topology and $|\phi\tau_t(xp^{\perp})|^2 \le |\phi\tau_t(xx^*)|\phi(\tau_t(p^{\perp}))| \le ||x||^2\phi(\tau_t(p^{\perp})$ it is good enough if we verify that (a) is equivalent to $\mathrm{sup}_{\{x:||x||\le 1\}}|\phi\tau_t(pxp) - \phi_0(pxp)| \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. To that end we first note that $\mathrm{limsup}_{t\rightarrow\infty}\mathrm{sup}_{x:||x||\le 1}|\psi(\tau_{s+t}(pxp)) - \phi_0(pxp)|$ is independent of $s \ge 0$ we choose. On the other hand we write $\tau_{s+t}(pxp) = \tau_s(p\tau_t(pxp)p) + \tau_s(p\tau_t(pxp)p^{\perp}) + \tau_s(p^{\perp}\tau_t(pxp)p) + \tau_s(p^{\perp}\tau_t(pxp)p^{\perp})$ and use the fact for any normal state ϕ we have $\mathrm{limsup}_{t\rightarrow\infty}\mathrm{sup}_{x:||x||\le 1}|\psi(\tau_s(z\tau_t(pxp)p^{\perp})| \le ||z||\ |\psi(\tau_s(p^{\perp}))|$ for all $z \in \mathcal{A}_0$. Thus by our hypothesis on the support projection we conclude that (a) hold whenever (b) is true. ∎

In case $\mathcal{A}_0$ is a type-I von-Neumann algebra with center completely atomic, then $(\mathcal{A}_0, \tau_t, \phi_0)$ is strong mixing if and only if $\mathcal{G} = C$ [Mo1]. This criteria has been further explored in [Mo3] for an explicit necessary and sufficient condition on the coefficient associated with Stinespring representation [Da] of a Markov map. This criteria in particular enable us to construct ergodic Markov map on a finite dimensional algebra with a faithful normal state and but not strong mixing. However in case the time variable is continuous and the von-Neumann algebra is the set of bounded linear operators on a finite dimensional Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_0$, by exploring Lindblad's representation [Li], Arveson [Ar] shows that a quantum dynamical semigroup with a faithful normal invariant state is ergodic if and only if the dynamics is strong mixing. In the following we prove a more general result exploring the criteria that we have obtained in Theorem 2.3.

THEOREM 2.5: Let $\mathcal{A}_0$ be type-I with center completely atomic and $(\tau_t : t \in R)$ admits a normal state ϕ_0. Then $(\mathcal{A}_0, \tau_t, \phi_0)$ is strong mixing if and only if $(\mathcal{A}_0, \tau_t, \phi_0)$ is ergodic.

PROOF: We first assume that ϕ_0 is also faithful. We will verify now the criteria that $\mathcal{G}_0$ is trivial when (τ_t) is ergodic. Since $\mathcal{G}_0$ is remained invariant by the modular auto-morphism group associated with the faithful normal state ϕ_0, by a theorem of Takesaki [Ta] there exists a faithful normal norm one projection from $\mathcal{A}_0$ onto $\mathcal{G}_0$. Now since $\mathcal{A}_0$ is a von-Neumann algebra of type-I with center completely atomic, Stormer [So] says that $\mathcal{G}_0$ is also type-I with center completely atomic.

Let Q be a central projection in $\mathcal{G}_0$. Since $\tau_t(Q)$ is also a projection and $\tau_t(Q) \rightarrow Q$ as $t \rightarrow 0$ we conclude that $\tau_t(Q) = Q$ for all $t \geq 0$ (center of $\mathcal{G}$ being completely atomic and time variable t is continuous). Hence by ergodicity we conclude that $Q = 0$ or 1. Hence $\mathcal{G}_0$ can be identified with $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ for a separable Hilbert space $\mathcal{K}$. Since (τ_t) on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ is an automorphism we find a self-adjoint operator H in $\mathcal{K}$ so that $\tau_t(x) = e^{itH}xe^{-itH}$ for any $x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$. Since it admits an ergodic faithful normal state, by [Fr, Mo1] we conclude that $\{x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}) : xe^{itH} = e^{itH}x,\ t \in R\} = I\!\!C$, which holds if and only if $\mathcal{K}$ is one dimensional. Hence $\mathcal{G}_0 = I\!\!C$.

Now we deal with the general situation. Let p be the support projection of ϕ_0 in $\mathcal{A}_0$. So p is a sub-harmonic projection in $\mathcal{A}_0$ for (τ_t) i.e. $\tau_t(p) \geq p$ for all $t \geq 0$. If $(\mathcal{A}_0, \tau_t, \phi_0)$ is ergodic then by [Mo1,] $(\mathcal{A}_p, \tau_t^p, \phi_0^p)$ is ergodic and $s - \text{limit}_{t \rightarrow \infty} \tau_t(p) = 1$ where $\mathcal{A}_0^p = p\mathcal{A}_0 p$ and $\tau_t^p(x) = p\tau_t(pxp)p$ and $\phi_0^p(x) = \phi_0(pxp)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{A}_0^p$. Since $\mathcal{A}_0^p$ is also a type-I factor and ϕ_0^p is faithful, the reduced dynamics is strong mixing by the above argument. Now once more we appeal to [Mo1, Theorem] to complete the proof. ∎

We end this section with another application of Theorem 2.3, proving a result originated in [FNW1,FNW2,BJKW].

THEOREM 2.6: Let $\mathcal{A}_0$ be a type-I von-Neumann algebra with center completely atomic and τ be a completely positive map with a faithful normal invariant state ϕ_0. Then the following are equivalent:

(a) $(\mathcal{A}_0, \tau_n, \phi_0)$ is strong mixing.

(b) $(\mathcal{A}_0, \tau_n, \phi_0)$ is ergodic and $\{w \in S^1,\ \tau(x) = wx,$ for some non zero$x \in \mathcal{A}_0\} = \{1\}$, where $S^1 = \{w \in I\!\!C : |w| = 1\}$.

PROOF: That '(a) implies (b)' is rather simple. To that end let $\tau(x) = wx$ for some $x \neq 0$ and $|w| = 1$. Then $\tau^n(x) = w^n x$ and since the sequence w^n has a limit point say $z, |z| = 1$ we conclude by strong mixing that $zx = \phi_0(x)I$. Hence x is a scaler and thus $x = \tau(x),\ x \neq 0$. So $w = 1$. By taking $w = 1$, we also get ergodic property, since by strong mixing $x = \phi_0(x)I$ for $\tau(x) = x$.

Now for the converse we will use our hypothesis that ϕ_0 is faithful. To that end we plan to verify that $\mathcal{G}_0$ is only scalers and appeal to Theorem 2.3 for strong mixing. Since there exists a conditional expectation from $\mathcal{A}_0$ onto $\mathcal{G}_0$, $\mathcal{G}_0$ is once more a type-I von-Neumann algebra with center completely atomic. Let E be a non-zero atomic projection in the center of $\mathcal{G}_0$. Since τ is an automorphism on $\mathcal{G}_0$ each elements in the sequence $\{\tau_k(E) : k \geq 0\}$ is an atomic projection in the center of $\mathcal{G}_0$. If $\tau_n(E) \bigcap \tau_m(E) \neq 0$ and $n \geq m$ we find that $\tau_m(\tau_{n-m}(E) \bigcap E) \neq 0$ and thus faithful and invariance property of ϕ_0, we get $\phi(\tau_{n-m}(E) \bigcap E) > 0$. Once more by faithfulness we find $\tau_{n-m}(E) \bigcap E \neq 0$. So by atomic property of E and $\tau_{n-m}(E)$ we conclude that $\tau_{n-m}(E) = E$. Thus either the elements in the infinite sequence $E, \tau(E), ..., \tau^n(E)....$ are all mutually orthogonal or there exists an integer $n \geq 1$ so that the projections $E, \tau(E), .., \tau_{n-1}(E)$ are mutually orthogonal and $\tau^n(E) = E$. However for such an infinite sequence with mutually orthogonal projection we have $1 = \phi_0(I) \leq$

$\phi_0(\bigcup_{0 \le n \le m-1} \tau_n(E)) = m\psi(E)$ for all $m \ge 1$. Hence $\psi(E) = 0$ which is a contradiction, since E is non-zero and ϕ_0 is faithful.

Thus for any $w \in S^1$ with $w^n = 1$, we have $\tau(x) = wx$, where $x = \sum_{0 \le k \le n-1} w^k \tau_k(E) \ne 0$. Hence by (b) we have $w = 1$. So $n = 1$. In other words we have $\tau(E) = E$ for any atomic projection in the center of $\mathcal{G}_0$. Now by ergodicity we have $E = I$. Thus $\mathcal{G}_0$ is a type-I factor isomorphic to $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ for some Hilbert space $\mathcal{K}$ and $\tau(x) = uxu^*$ for some unitary element in $\mathcal{A}_0$. Since $(\mathcal{G}_0, \tau_n, \phi_0)$ is ergodic by Theorem 2.3 we have $\{u, u*\}'' = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$, which holds if and only if $\mathcal{K}$ is one dimensional (check for an alternative proof that $\tau(u) = u$, thus $u = I$ by ergodicity and thus $\tau(x) = x$ for all $x \in \mathcal{G}_0$). Hence $\mathcal{G}_0 = I\!\!C$. This complete the proof that (b) implies (a). ■

3 Minimal endomorphisms and Markov semigroups :

An E$_0$-semigroup (α_t) is a weak*-continuous one-parameter semigroup of unital *-endomorphisms on a von-Neumann algebra $\mathcal{A}$ acting on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. Following [Po1,Po2,Ar] we say (α_t) is *pure* if $\bigcap_{t \ge 0} \alpha_t(\mathcal{A}) = I\!\!C$. For each $t \ge 0$, α_t being an endomorphism, $\alpha_t(\mathcal{A})$ is itself a von-Neumann algebra and thus $\bigcap_{t \ge 0} \alpha_t(\mathcal{A})$ is a limit of a sequence of decreasing von-Neumann algebras. Exploring this property Arveson proved that (α_t) is pure if and only if $||\psi_1\alpha_t - \psi_2\alpha_t|| \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$ for any two normal states ψ_1, ψ_2 on $\mathcal{A}$. These criteria gets further simplified in case (α_t) admits a normal invariant state ψ_0 which says that (α_t) is pure if and only if $||\psi\alpha_t - \psi_0|| \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$ for any normal state ψ. In such a case ψ_0 is the unique normal invariant state. However a pure (α_t) in general may not admit a normal invariant state [Po2,BJP] and this issue is itself an interesting problem.

One natural question we wish to address here whether similar result is also true for a Markov semigroup (τ_t) defined on an arbitrary von-Neumann algebra $\mathcal{A}_0$. This issue is already investigated in [Ar] where $\mathcal{A}_0 = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and (τ_t) is assumed to be continuous in strong operator topology. He explored associated minimal dilation to an E$_0$-semigroups and thus make possible to prove that associated E_0-semigroup is pure if and only if $||\phi_1\tau_t - \phi_2\tau_t|| \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ for any two normal states ϕ_1, ϕ_2 on $\mathcal{A}_0$. In case (τ_t) admits a normal invariant state the criteria gets simplified once more. In this section we will investigate this issue for an arbitrary von-Neumann algebra assuming that (τ_t) admits a normal invariant state ϕ_0.

To that end, we consider [Mo1] the minimal stationary weak Markov forward process $(\mathcal{H}, F_{t]}, j_t, \Omega,\ t \in R)$ and Markov shift (S_t) associated with $(\mathcal{A}_0, \tau_t, \phi_0)$ and set $\mathcal{A}_{[t}$ to be the von-Neumann algebra generated by the family of operators $\{j_s(x) : t \leq s < \infty,\ x \in \mathcal{A}_0\}$. We recall that $j_{s+t}(x) = S^*_t j_s(x) S_t,\ t, s \in R$ and thus $\alpha_t(\mathcal{A}_{[0}) \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{[0}$ whenever $t \geq 0$. Hence $(\alpha_t,\ t \geq 0)$ is a E$_0$-semigroup on $\mathcal{A}_{[0}$ with a invariant normal state Ω and

$$j_s(\tau_{t-s}(x)) = F_{s]}\alpha_t(j_{t-s}(x))F_{s]} \tag{3.1}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}_0$. We consider the GNS Hilbert space $(\mathcal{H}_{\pi_{\phi_0}}, \pi_{\phi_0}(\mathcal{A}_0), \omega_0)$ associated with $(\mathcal{A}_0, \phi_0)$ and define a Markov semigroup (τ^π_t) on $\pi(\mathcal{A}_0)$ by $\tau^\pi_t(\pi(x)) = \pi(\tau_t(x)$. Furthermore we now identify $\mathcal{H}_{\phi_0}$ as the subspace of $\mathcal{H}$ by the prescription $\pi_{\phi_0}(x)\omega_0 \rightarrow j_0(x)\Omega$. In such a case $\pi(x)$ is identified as $j_0(x)$ and aim to verify for any $t \geq 0$ that

$$\tau^\pi_t(PXP) = P\alpha_t(X)P \tag{3.2}$$

for all $X \in \mathcal{A}_{[0}$ where P is the projection from $\mathcal{H}$ on the GNS space. We use induction on $n \geq 1$. If $X = j_s(x)$ for some $s \geq 0$, (3.2) follows from (3.1). Now we assume that (3.2) is true for any element of the form $j_{s_1}(x_1)...j_{s_n}(x_n)$ for any $s_1, s_2, ..., s_n \geq 0$ and $x_i \in \mathcal{A}_0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Fix any $s_1, s_2, , s_n, s_{n+1} \geq 0$ and consider $X = j_{s_1}(x_1)...j_{s_{n+1}}(x_{n+1})$. Thus $P\alpha_t(X)P = j_0(1)j_{s_1+t}(x_1)...j_{s_{n+t}}(x_{n+1})j_0(1)$. If $s_{n+1} \geq s_n$, we use (3.1) to conclude (3.2) by our induction hypothesis. Now suppose $s_{n+1} \leq s_n$. In that case if $s_{n-1} \leq s_n$ we appeal to (3.1) and induction hypothesis to verify (3.2) for X. Thus we are left to consider the case where $s_{n+1} \leq s_n \leq s_{n-1}$ and by repeating this argument we are left to check only the case where $s_{n+1} \leq s_n \leq s_{n-1} \leq .. \leq s_1$. But $s_1 \geq 0 = s_0$ thus we can appeal to (3.1) at the end of the string and conclude that our claim is true for all elements in the $*-$ algebra generated by these elements of all order. Thus the result follows by von-Neumann density theorem. We also note that $P = \tau_t^{\pi}(1)$ is a sub-harmonic projection [Mo1] for $(\alpha_t : t \geq 0)$ i.e. $\alpha_t(P) \geq P$ for all $t \geq 0$.

THEOREM 3.1: Let $(\mathcal{A}_0, \tau_t, \phi_0)$ be a quantum dynamical semigroup with a normal invariant state for (τ_t). Then the GNS space $\mathcal{H}_{\pi_{\phi_0}}$ associated with the normal state ϕ_0 on $\mathcal{A}_0$ can be realized as a closed subspace of a unique Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{[0}$ up to isomorphism so that the following hold:

(a) There exists a von-Neumann algebra $\mathcal{A}_{[0}$ acting on $\mathcal{H}_{[0}$ and a unital $*$-endomorphism $(\alpha_t,\ t \geq 0)$ on $\mathcal{A}_{[0}$ with a pure vector state $\phi(X) =< \Omega, X\Omega >$, $\Omega \in \mathcal{H}_{[0}$ invariant for $(\alpha_t : t \geq 0)$.

(b) $P\mathcal{A}P$ is isomorphic with $\pi(\mathcal{A}_0)$ where P is the projection onto $\mathcal{H}_{\pi_{\phi_0}}$;

(c) $P\alpha_t(X)P = \tau_t^{\pi}(PXP)$ for all $t \geq 0$ and $X \in \mathcal{A}_{[0}$;

(d) The closed span generated by the vectors $\{\alpha_{t_n}(PX_nP)....\alpha_{t_1}(PX_1P)\Omega : 0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq .. \leq t_k \leq ....t_n, X_1, .., X_n \in \mathcal{A}_{[0}, n \geq 1\}$ is $\mathcal{H}_{[0}$.

PROOF: The uniqueness up to isomorphism follows from the minimality property (d). ∎

Following the literature [Vi,Sa,BhP,Bh] on dilation we say $(\mathcal{A}_{[0}, \alpha_t, \phi)$ is the minimal E$_0$semigroup associated with $(\mathcal{A}_0, \tau_t, \phi_0)$. By a theorem [Ar, Proposition 1.1] we conclude that $\bigcap_{t \ge 0} \alpha_t(\mathcal{A}_{[0}) = I\!\!C$ if and only if for any normal state ψ on $\mathcal{A}_{[0}$, $||\psi\alpha_t - \psi_0|| \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, where $\psi_0(X) =< \Omega, X\Omega >$ for $X \in \mathcal{A}_{0]}$. In the following proposition we explore that fact that P is a sub-harmonic projection for (α_t) and by our construction $\alpha_t(P) = F_{t]} \uparrow 1$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

PROPOSITION 3.2: $||\phi\tau_t^\pi - \phi_0|| \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ for all normal state ϕ on $\pi(\mathcal{A}_0)''$ if and only if $||\psi\alpha_t - \psi_0|| \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ for all normal state ψ on $\mathcal{A}_{[0}$.

PROOF: Since $F_{s]} \uparrow 1$ in strong operator topology by our construction and $\pi(\mathcal{A}_0)$ is isomorphic to $F_{0]}\mathcal{A}_{[0}F_{0]}$, we get the result by a simple application of Theorem 2.4. ■

THEOREM 3.3: Let $\tau = (\tau_t,\ t \ge 0)$ be a weak* continuous Markov semigroup on $\mathcal{A}_0$ with an invariant normal state ϕ_0. Then there exists a weak* continuous E$_0$-semigroup $\alpha = (\alpha_t,\ t \ge 0)$ on a von-Neumann algebra $\mathcal{A}_{[0}$ acting on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ so that

$$P\alpha_t(X)P = \tau_t^\pi(PXP),\ t \ge 0$$

for all $X \in \mathcal{A}_{[0}$, where P is a sub-harmonic projection for (α_t) such that $\alpha_t(P) \uparrow I$.

Moreover the following statements are equivalent:

(a) $\bigcap_{t \ge 0} \alpha_t(\mathcal{A}_{[0}) = C$

(b) $||\phi\tau_t^\pi - \phi_0|| \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ for any normal state ϕ on $\pi(\mathcal{A}_0)''$.

(c) $\bigcap_{t \ge 0} \tau_t^\pi(\pi(\mathcal{A}_0)) = C$

PROOF: For convenience of notation we denote $\pi(\mathcal{A}_0)''$ as $\mathcal{A}_0$ in the following proof. That (a) and (b) are equivalent follows by a Theorem of Arveson [Ar] and Proposition 3.2. Since $P \bigcap_{t \ge 0} \alpha_t(\mathcal{A}_{[0})P = \bigcap_{t \ge 0} \tau_t^\pi(P\mathcal{A}_{[0}P) = \bigcap_{t \ge 0} \tau_t^\pi(\mathcal{A}_0)$, if (a) is true then we have $\bigcap_{t \geq 0} \tau_t^{\pi}(\mathcal{A}_0) = \{zP : z \in \mathbb{C}\}$. Hence (c) is true. Conversely if (c) is true then $P \bigcap_{t \geq 0} \alpha_t(\mathcal{A}_{[0})P = \{zP : z \in C\}$. Since $\bigcap_{t \geq 0} \alpha_t(\mathcal{A}_{[0})$ is (α_t) invariant von-Neumann algebra, by homomorphism property we also get $\alpha_s(P) \bigcap_{t \geq 0} \alpha_t(\mathcal{A}_{[0})\alpha_s(P) = \{z\alpha_s(P) : z \in C$. Since $\alpha_s(P) \uparrow 1$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$ we conclude that (a) is also true. ■

Following [AM,Mo1] we say $(\mathcal{H}, S_t, F_{t]}, \Omega)$ is a *Kolmogorov's shift* if strong $\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} F_{t]} = |\Omega >< \Omega|$. We also recall here that Kolmogorov's shift property holds if and only if $\phi_0(\tau_t(x)\tau_t(y)) \rightarrow \phi_0(x)\phi_0(y)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}_0$. In such a case $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ [see the paragraph before Theorem 3.9 in [Mo1]). If ϕ_0 is faithful then $\mathcal{A}_0$ and $\pi(\mathcal{A}_0)$ are isomorphic, thus $\bigcap_{t \geq 0} \tau_t(\mathcal{A}_0) = C$ if and only if $||\phi\tau_t - \phi_0|| \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ for any normal state ϕ on $\mathcal{A}_0$. Such a property is often called *strong ergodic property.* The following result says that there is a duality between strong ergodicity and Kolmogorov's shift property.

THEOREM 3.4: Let $(\mathcal{A}_0, \tau_t, \phi_0)$ be a Markov semigroup with a faithful normal invariant state ϕ_0. Then the following are equivalent:

(a) $\phi_0(\tilde{\tau}_t(x)\tilde{\tau}_t(y)) \rightarrow \phi_0(x)\phi_0(y)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ for any $x, y \in \mathcal{A}_0$.

(b) $||\phi\tau_t - \phi_0|| \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ for any normal state ϕ on $\mathcal{A}_0$.

PROOF: For each $t \in R$ let $\mathcal{A}_{t]}^b$ be the von-Neumann algebra generated by the backward processes $\{j_s^b(x) : -\infty < s \leq t\}$ [Mo1]. If (a) is true by Theorem 3.9 and Theorem 4.1 in [Mo1] we verify that weak* closure of $\bigcup_{t \in R} \mathcal{A}_{t]}^b$ is $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Since for each $t \in R$ the commutant of $\mathcal{A}_{t]}^b$ contains $\mathcal{A}_{[t}$ we conclude that $\bigcap_{t \in R} \mathcal{A}_{t]}$ is trivial. Hence (b) follows once we appeal to Theorem 3.3. For the converse, it is enough if we verify that $\phi_0(\tilde{\tau}_t(x)J\tilde{\tau}_t(y)J) \rightarrow \phi_0(x)\phi_0(y)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ for any $x, y \in \mathcal{A}_0$ with $y \geq 0$ and $\phi_0(y) = 1$. To that end we check the following easy steps $\phi_0(\tilde{\tau}_t(x)J\tilde{\tau}_t(y)J) = \phi_0(\tau_t(\tilde{\tau}_t(x))JyJ)$ and for any normal state ϕ, $|\phi \circ \tau_t(\tilde{\tau}_t(x)) - \phi_0(x)| \leq ||\phi \circ \tau_t - \phi_0|| ||\tilde{\tau}_t(x)|| \leq ||\phi \circ \tau_t - \phi_0|| ||x||$. Thus the result follows once we note that ϕ defined by $\phi(x) = \phi_0(xJyJ)$ is a normal state. ■

THEOREM 3.5: Let $(\mathcal{A}_0, \tau_t, \phi_0)$ be a Markov semigroup with a normal invariant state ϕ_0. Consider the following statements:

(a) $\phi_0(\tau_t(x)\tau_t(y)) \rightarrow \phi_0(x)\phi_0(y)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}_0$.

(b) the strong $\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} F_{t]} = |\Omega >< \Omega|$.

(c) $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$

Then (a) and (b) are equivalent statements and in such a case (c) is also true. If ϕ_0 is also faithful (c) is also equivalent to (a) (and hence (b)).

PROOF: That (a) and (b) are equivalent is nothing but a restatement of Theorem 3.9 in [Mo1]. That (b) implies (c) is obvious since the projection $[\mathcal{A}'\Omega]$, where $\mathcal{A}'$ is the commutant of $\mathcal{A}$, is the support of the vector state in $\mathcal{A}$. We will prove now (c) implies (a). In case $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, we have $\bigcap_{t \in R} \mathcal{A}^b_{t]} = C$, thus in particular $\bigcap_{t \le 0} \alpha_t(\mathcal{A}^b_{0]}) = C$. Hence by Theorem 3.3 applied for the time-reverse endomorphism we verify that $||\phi\tilde{\tau}_t - \phi_0|| \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Now (a) follows once we appeal to Theorem 3.4 for the adjoint semigroups since $\tilde{\tilde{\tau}}_t = \tau_t$. ■

Let $(\mathcal{B}_0, \lambda_t,\ t \ge 0, \psi)$ be a unital $*-$endomorphism with an invariant normal state ψ on a von-Neumann algebra $\mathcal{B}_0$ acting on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{K}$. Let P be the support projection for ψ. We set $\mathcal{A}_0 = P\mathcal{B}P$, a von-Neumann algebra acting on $\mathcal{H}_0$, the closed subspace P, and $\tau_t(x) = P\lambda_t(PxP)P$, for any $x \in \mathcal{A}_0$ and $t \ge 0$. Since $\lambda_t(P) \ge P$, it is simple to verify [Mo1] that $(\mathcal{A}_0, \tau_t, \psi_0)$ is a quantum dynamical semigroup with a faithful normal invariant state ψ_0, where $\psi_0(x) = \psi(PxP)$ for $x \in \mathcal{A}_0$. Now we set $k_0(x) = PxP$ and $k_t(x) = \lambda_t(k_0(x))$ for $t \ge 0$. A routine verification says that $F_{s]}k_t(x)F_{s]} = k_s(\tau_{t-s}(x))$ for $0 \le s \le t$, where $F_{s]} = \lambda_s(P),\ s \ge 0$.

Are these vectors $\{\lambda_{t_n}(PX_nP)....\lambda_{t_1}(PX_1P)f : \ f \in \mathcal{H}_0, 0 \le t_1 \le t_2 \le .. \le t_k \le ..t_n, X_1,..,X_n \in \mathcal{B}_0, n \ge 1\}$ total in $\mathcal{H}$? As an example we consider endomorphisms on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ [BJP] with a pure mixing state, in such a case $\mathcal{A}_0$ is only scalers thus the cyclic space associated with pure state is itself. Thus the problem is rather delicate even when the von-Neumann algebra is the algebra of all bounded operators on $\mathcal{K}$. We will not address this problem here. Since $\lambda_t(P)\lambda_{t_n}(PX_nP)...\lambda_{t_1}(PXP)\mathcal{H}_0 = \lambda_{t_n}(PX_nP)...\lambda_{t_1}(PXP)\Omega$ for $t \ge t_n$, $\lim_{t \to \infty}\lambda_t(P) = 1$ is a necessary condition for cyclic property but not sufficient. However in the following we explore the fact the support projection P is indeed an element in the von-Neumann algebra $\mathcal{N}_0$ generated by the process $(k_t(x) : \ t \ge 0, \ x \in \mathcal{A}_0)$.

To that end we consider little more general situation. Let $\mathcal{B}_0$ be a C^* algebra, $(\lambda_t : \ t \ge 0)$ be a semigroup of endomorphisms and ψ be an invariant state for $(\lambda_t : t \ge 0)$. We extend (λ_t) to an automorphism on the C^* algebra $\mathcal{B}_{-\infty}$ of the inductive limit

$$\mathcal{B}_0 \to^{\lambda_t} \mathcal{B}_0 \to^{\lambda_t} \mathcal{B}_0$$

and extend also the state ψ to $\mathcal{B}_{-\infty}$ by requiring (λ_t) invariance. Thus there exists a directed set (i.e. indexed by $I\!\!T$, by inclusion $\mathcal{B}_{[-s} \subseteq \mathcal{B}_{[-t}$ if and only if $t \ge s$) of C*-subalgebras $\mathcal{B}_{[t}$ of $\mathcal{B}_{-\infty}$ so that the uniform closure of $\bigcup_{s \in I\!\!T} \mathcal{B}_{[s}$ is $\mathcal{B}_{[-\infty}$. Moreover there exists an isomorphism $i_0 : \mathcal{B}_0 \to \mathcal{B}_{[0}$ (we refer [Sa] for general facts on inductive limit of C*-algebras). It is simple to note that $i_t = \lambda_t \circ i_0$ is an isomorphism of $\mathcal{B}_0$ onto $\mathcal{B}_{[t}$ and $\psi_{-\infty} i_t = \psi$ on $\mathcal{B}_0$. Let $(\mathcal{H}_\pi, \pi, \Omega)$ be the GNS space associated with $(\mathcal{B}_{-\infty}, \psi_{-\infty})$ and (λ_t) be the unique normal extension to $\pi(\mathcal{B}_{-\infty})''$. Thus the vector state $\psi_\Omega(X) =< \Omega, X\Omega >$ is (λ_t) invariance and $(\pi(\mathcal{B}_{[0})'', \lambda_t, \ t \ge 0, \psi_\Omega)$ is a quantum dynamics of endomorphism. Let $G_{0]}$ be the cyclic subspace of the vector Ω generated by $\pi(\mathcal{B}_{[0})$. It is simple to check that $\pi(X)G_{0]} = G_{0]}\pi(X)G_{0]}$ for all $X \in \mathcal{B}_{[0}$, hence each element in $\pi(\mathcal{B}_{[0})''$ also commutes with $G_{0]}$. The the map $h : X \rightarrow G_{0]}XG_{0]}$ is an homomorphism and the range is isomorphic to $\pi_0(\mathcal{B}_0)''$, where $(\mathcal{H}_0, \pi_0, \omega_0)$ be the GNS space associated with $(\mathcal{B}_0, \psi)$. We identify the range of h with $\pi_0(\mathcal{B}_0)''$. It is simple to verify that $h \circ \lambda_t(X) = \lambda_t(h(X))$ for all $X \in \pi(\mathcal{B}_{[0})''$ and $t \geq$.

Let $F_{t]}$ be the support projection of the normal vector state Ω in the von-Neumann sub-algebra $\pi(\mathcal{B}_{[t})''$. $F_{t]}$ is a monotonically decreasing sequence of projections as $t \rightarrow -\infty$. Let projection Q be the limit. Thus Q is the support projection for $\psi_{-\infty}$ in $\mathcal{B}_{-\infty}$ and $Q \geq |\Omega >< \Omega|$. We aim to investigate when Q is pure i.e. $Q = |\Omega >< \Omega|$.

To that end we set von-Neumann algebra $\mathcal{N}_0 = F_{0]}\pi(\mathcal{B}_{[0})''F_{0]}$ and define family $\{k_t : \mathcal{N}_0 \rightarrow \pi(\mathcal{B}_{-\infty})'',\ t \in I\!\!T\}$ of $*-$homomorphisms by

$$k_t(x) = \lambda_t(F_{0]}xF_{0]}),\ \ x \in \mathcal{N}_0$$

It is a routine work to check that $(k_t : t \in I\!\!T)$ is the unique up to isomorphism (in the cyclic space of the vector Ω generated by the von-Neumann algebra $\{k_t(x) : t \in I\!\!T, x \in \mathcal{N}_0\}$) forward minimal weak Markov process associated with $(\mathcal{N}_0, \eta_t, \psi_0)$ where $\eta_t(x) = F_{0]}\alpha_t(F_{0]}xF_{0]})F_{0]}$ for all $t \geq 0$. Thus $Q = |\Omega >< \Omega|$ when restricted to the cyclic space of the process if and only if $\psi_0(\eta_t(x)\eta_t(y)) \rightarrow \psi_0(x)\psi_0(y)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. In fact more is true. To that end let P be the support projection of the vector state ω_0 in von-Neumann algebra $\pi_0(\mathcal{B}_0)''$ and $\mathcal{A}_0 = P\pi_0(\mathcal{B}_0)''P$. We set $\tau_t(x) = P\lambda_t(PxP)P$ for all $t \geq 0,\ x \in \mathcal{A}_0$ and $\phi_0(x) = \psi(PxP)$.

Thus $h(F_{0]}) = P$ and by homomorphism property and commuting property with (λ_t) we also check that $h(\mathcal{N}_0) = \mathcal{A}_0$ and $h(\eta_t(x)) = h(F_{0]})\lambda_t(h(F_{0]})h(x)h(F_{0]})) = P\lambda_t(Ph(x)P)P = \tau_t(h(x))$ for all $t \geq 0$.

THEOREM 3.6: The following hold:
(a) $k_t(I) = F_{t]}$ and $k_t(I)\pi(\mathcal{B}_{[t})''k_t(I) = k_t(\mathcal{N}_0)$ for all $t \in I\!\!T$.
(b) $(\mathcal{H}, k_t, F_{t]}, \lambda_t, \Omega)$ is the minimal forward weak Markov process associated with $(\mathcal{N}_0, \eta_t, \psi_0)$.
(c) $\psi_{-\infty}$ is a pure state if and only if $\phi_0(\tau_t(x)\tau_t(y)) \rightarrow \phi_0(x)\psi_0(y)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ for $x, y \in \mathcal{A}_0$.

PROOF: (a) is essentially by our construction and (b) is a routine work. We are left to prove only (c). For any fix $t \in I\!\!T$ since $j_t(\mathcal{A}_0) = F_{t]}\pi(\mathcal{B}_{[t})''F_{t]}$,for any $X \in \mathcal{B}_{[t}$ we have $QX\Omega = QF_{t]}XF_{t]}\Omega = Qk_t(x)\Omega$ for some $x \in \mathcal{A}_0$. Hence $Q = |\Omega >< \Omega|$ if and only if $Q = |\Omega >< \Omega|$ on the cyclic subspace generated by $\{k_t(x),\ t \in I\!\!T, x \in \mathcal{A}_0\}$. Theorem 3.5 says now that $Q = |\Omega >< \Omega|$ is and only if $\psi_0(\eta_t(x)\eta_t(y)) \rightarrow \psi_0(x)\psi_0(y)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ for all $x \in \mathcal{N}_0$, Since h is an homomorphism and $h\eta_t(x) = \tau_t(h(x))$, we also have $h(\eta_t(x))\eta_t(y)) = \tau_t(h(x))\tau_t(h(x))$. Since $\phi_0 \circ h = \psi_0$ we conclude that result. ■

4 Sub-factors and Kolmogorov's shift:

In this section we will investigate further the sequence of von-Neumann algebra $\mathcal{A}_{[t}$ defined in the last section with an additional assumption that ϕ_0 is also faithful.

THEOREM 4.1: Let $(\mathcal{A}_0, \tau_t, \phi_0)$ be a Markov semigroup with a faithful normal invariant state ϕ_0. If $\mathcal{A}_0$ is a factor then $\mathcal{A}_{[0}$ is a factor. Moreover
(a) $\mathcal{A}_{[0}$ is a type-I (type-II, type-III) factor if and only if $\mathcal{A}_0$ is a type-I (type -II , type-III) factor respectively.
(b) $\mathcal{A}_0$ is a hyper-finite factor if and only if $\mathcal{A}_{[0}$ is a hyper-finite factor.

PROOF: We first show factor property of $\mathcal{A}_{[0}$. Note that the von-Neumann algebra $\mathcal{A}^b_{0]}$ generated by the backward process $\{j^b_s(x) : s \leq 0, x \in \mathcal{A}_0\}$ is a sub-algebra of $\mathcal{A}'_{[0}$, the commutant of $\mathcal{A}_{[0}$. We fix any $X \in \mathcal{A}_{[0} \bigcap \mathcal{A}'_{[0}$ in the center. Then for any $y \in \mathcal{A}_0$ we verify that $Xj_0(y)\Omega = XF_{0]}j_0(y)\Omega = F_{0]}XF_{0]}j_0(y)\Omega = j_0(xy)\Omega$ for some $x \in \mathcal{A}_0$. Since $Xj_0(y) = j_0(y)X$ we also have $j_0(xy)\Omega = j_0(yx)\Omega$. By faithfulness of the state ϕ_0 we conclude $xy = yx$ thus x must be a scaler. Thus we have $Xj_0(y)\Omega = cj_0(y)\Omega$ for some scaler $c \in \mathbb{C}$. Now we use the property that X commutes with forward process $j_t(x) : \ x \in \mathcal{A}_0, t \geq 0$ and as well as the backward processes $\{j^b_t(x), \ t \leq 0\}$ to conclude that $X\lambda(t,x) = c\lambda(t,x)$. Hence $X = c$.

Now if $\mathcal{A}_0$ is a type-I factor, then there exists a non-zero minimal projection $p \in \mathcal{A}_0$. In such a case we claim that $j_0(p)$ is also a minimal projection in $\mathcal{A}_{[0}$. To that end let X be any projection in $\mathcal{A}_{[0}$ so that $X \leq j_0(p)$. Since $F_{0]}\mathcal{A}_{[0}F_{0]} = j_0(\mathcal{A}_0)$ we conclude that $F_{0]}XF_{0]} = j_0(x)$ for some $x \in \mathcal{A}_0$. Hence $X = j_0(p)Xj_0(p) = F_{0]}Xj_0(p) = j_0(xp) = j_0(px)$ Thus by faithfulness of the state ϕ_0 we conclude that $px = xp$. Hence $X = j_0(q)$ where q is a projection smaller then equal to p. Since p is a minimal projection in $\mathcal{A}_0$, $q = p$ or $q = 0$ i.e. $X = j_0(p)$ or 0. So $j_0(p)$ is also a minimal projection. Hence $\mathcal{A}_{[0}$ is a type-I factor. For the converse statement we trace the argument in the reverse direction. Let p be a non-zero projection in $\mathcal{A}_0$ and claim that there exists a minimal projection $q \in \mathcal{A}_0$ so that $0 < q \leq p$. Now since $j_0(p)$ is a non-zero projection in a type-I factor $\mathcal{A}_{[0}$ there exists a non-zero projection X which is minimal in $\mathcal{A}_{[0}$ so that $0 < X \leq j_0(p)$. Now we repeat the argument to conclude that $X = j_0(q)$ for some projection q. Since $X \neq 0$ and minimal, $q \neq 0$ and minimal in $\mathcal{A}_0$. This completes the proof for type-I case. We will prove now the case for Type-II.

Let $\mathcal{A}_{[0}$ be type-II then there exists a finite projection $X \leq F_{0]}$. Once more

$X = F_{0]}XF_{0]} = j_0(x)$ for some projection $x \in \mathcal{A}_0$. We claim that x is finite. To that end let q be another projection so that $q \leq x$ and $q = uu^*$ and $u^*u = x$. Then $j_0(q) \leq j_0(x) = X$ and $j_0(q) = j_0(u)j_0(u)^*$ and $j_0(x) = j_0(u)^*j_0(u)$. Since X is finite in $\mathcal{A}_{[0}$ we conclude that $j_0(q) = j_0(x)$. By faithfulness of ϕ_0 we conclude that $q = x$, hence x is a finite projection. Since $\mathcal{A}_0$ is not type-I, it is type-II. For the converse let $\mathcal{A}_0$ be type-II. So $\mathcal{A}_{[0}$ is either type-II or type-III. We will rule out that the possibility for type-III. Suppose not, i.e. if $\mathcal{A}_{[0}$ is type-III, for every projection $p \neq 0$, there exists $u \in \mathcal{A}_{[0}$ so that $j_0(p) = uu^*$ and $F_{0]} = u^*u$. In such a case $j_0(p)u = uF_{0]}$. Set $j_0(v) = F_{0]}uF_{0]}$ for some $v \in \mathcal{A}_0$. Thus $j_0(pv) = j_0(v)$. Once more by faithfulness of the normal state ϕ_0, we conclude $pv = v$. So $j_0(v) = uF_{0]}$. Hence $j_0(v^*v) = F_{0]}$. Hence $v^*v = 1$ by faithfulness of ϕ_0. Since this is true for any non-zero projection p in $\mathcal{A}_0$, $\mathcal{A}_0$ is type-III, which is a contradiction. Now we are left to show the statement for type-III, which is true since any factor needs to be either of these three types. This completes the proof for (a).

For (b) we recall for a factor, hyperfinite is equivalent to being generated by an ascending sequence of finite dimensional von-Neumann algebras [BR,El]. Let $\mathcal{A}_0$ be hyperfinite and $\{\mathcal{N}^n : n \geq 1\}$ be such a sequence of finite dimensional von-Neumann algebras. For each $n \geq 1$ we set von-Neumann sub-algebras $\mathcal{N}^n_{[0} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{[0}$ generated by the elements $\{j_t(\mathcal{N}^n) : t = \frac{r}{2^n}, 0 \leq r \leq n2^n\}$. Any arbitrary product of elements in the set is reduced to a product of elements of at most $n2^n$ elements from the set $\{j_t(\tau_s(\mathcal{N}^n)) :$ where $s,\ t \in \{\frac{r}{2^n}, 0 \leq r \leq n2^n\}\}$. Thus each $\mathcal{N}^n_{[0}$ is finite dimensional and ascending with n. By the weak* continuity of Markov semigroup we check that the sequence generates $\mathcal{A}_{[0}$. Hence by our earlier remark $\mathcal{A}_{[0}$ is hyperfinite. For the converse we recall for a factor $\mathcal{M}$ acting on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, Tomiyama's property (i.e. there exists a norm one projection $E : \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$, see [BR1] page-151 for details

) is equivalent to hyperfinite property. For a hyperfinite factor $\mathcal{A}_{[0}$, $j_0(\mathcal{A}_0)$ is a factor in the GNS space identified with the subspace $F_{0]}$. Let E be the norm one projection from $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_{[0})$ on $\mathcal{A}_{[0}$ and verify that the completely positive map $E_0 : \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_0$ defined by $E_0(X) = F_{0]}E(F_{0]}XF_{0]})F_{0]}$ is a norm one projection from $\mathcal{B}(F_{0]})$ to $\mathcal{A}_0$. This completes the proof. ■

Let H be a Hilbert space, $B(H)$ the algebra of bounded operators on H, and $\mathcal{E}$ a complete Boolean algebra (complete orthocomplemented distributive lattice) with minimal element 0 and maximal element 1. $I \neq 0$ in $\mathcal{E}$ is an atom if $J < I$ implies that $J = 0$. $\mathcal{E}$ is atomic if for every $J \in \mathcal{E}$ there is an atom $I \leq J$; $\mathcal{E}$ is continuous if it has no atom. A complete Boolean algebra of factors is a mapping $I \rightarrow R(I)$ from $\mathcal{E}$ into the von Neumann algebras on H, such that $R(I') = R(I)'$, $R(\bigwedge I_\alpha) = \bigcap R(I_\alpha)$, $R(\bigvee I_\alpha) = (\bigcup R(I_\alpha))''$, $R(1) = B(H)$, and, for every $I \in \mathcal{E}$, $R(I)$ is a factor (I' denotes the complement of I, and $R(I)'$ the commutant of $R(I)$).

We set family $\mathcal{A}_{[s,t)} = \mathcal{A}_{[s} \bigcap \mathcal{A}'_{[t}$, $-\infty < s \leq t < \infty$ of factors, $\mathcal{A}_{[s,\infty)} = \mathcal{A}_{[s}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{(-\infty,t]} = \mathcal{A}'_{[t}$.

THEOREM 4.2: The map $[s,t) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{[s,t)}$ has a unique extension to a complete boolean algebra of factors if and only if $F_{-t]} \rightarrow |\Omega >< \Omega|$ and $F_{[t} \rightarrow |\Omega >< \Omega|$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof: By Theorem 3.5 we have $F_{-t]} \rightarrow |\Omega >< \Omega|$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ if and only if $(\bigcup_{t \in R} \mathcal{A}_{[t)})'' = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. By duality we also have $F_{[t} \rightarrow |\Omega >< \Omega|$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ if and only if $\bigcap_{t \in R} \mathcal{A}_{[t} = I\!\!C$. Thus $\mathcal{A}_{[s,t)} \uparrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ as $[s,t) \uparrow (-\infty,\infty)$ if and only if $F_{-t]} \rightarrow |\Omega >< \Omega|$ and $F_{[t} \rightarrow |\Omega >< \Omega|$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. ■

5 Complete boolean algebra of type-I factors:

For a type-I factor $\mathcal{A}_0$, $\mathcal{A}_{[0}$ is also a type-I factor, thus there exist Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{F}_{0)}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{[0}$ so that $\mathcal{H}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{F}_{0)} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{[0}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{[0}$ is isomorphic to $I \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}_{[0})$. Since for any $t \geq 0$, $\mathcal{A}_{[t}$ is a type-I sub-factor of $\mathcal{A}_{[0}$, thus via isomorphism is also a type-I sub-factor of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}_{[0})$. Thus we also find a Hilbert space $\mathcal{F}_{[0,t)}$ so that $\mathcal{F}_{[0}$ is isomorphic with $\mathcal{F}_{[0,t)} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{[t}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{[t}$ is isomorphic with $I \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}_{[t})$. Moreover $\mathcal{F}_{[0,s)} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{[s,t)}$ is isomorphic with $\mathcal{F}_{[0,t)}$ for any $s < t$. Since $\mathcal{A}_{[0}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{A}_{[s}$, we also verify that $\mathcal{F}_{[s,t)}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{F}_{[0,t-s)}$. Thus the family $\mathcal{P}_t = \mathcal{F}_{[0,t)},\ t \geq 0$ is a product system [Ar] of Hilbert spaces in $\mathcal{F}_{[0}$ i.e. $P_t \otimes P_s$ is isomorphic to P_{s+t} for any $s, t \geq 0$.

Moreover for a type-I factor $\mathcal{A}_0$, Kolmogorov's property of a Markov semigroup $(\mathcal{A}_0, \tau_t, \phi_0)$ is equivalent to strong mixing. Since strong mixing property is time reversible, by duality Kolmogorov property of the adjoint Markov semigroup is also equivalent to strong mixing. Thus by Theorem 4.2 we conclude that the map $[s,t) \to \mathcal{A}_{[s,t)}$ has a unique extension to a complete boolean algebra of type-I factors if and only if $(\mathcal{A}_0, \tau_t, \phi_0)$ is strongly mixing. In such a case the pure vector state ϕ on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is quasi-equivalent [BR] to product states $\phi_- \otimes \phi_+$ where ϕ_+ and ϕ_- are the normal states ϕ restricted to $\mathcal{A}_{[0}$ and $\mathcal{A}'_{[0}$ (the commutant) respectively.

THEOREM 5.1: Let $(\mathcal{A}_0, \tau_t, \phi_0)$ be as in Proposition 4.1 and $\mathcal{A}_0$ be a type-I factor. Then the following hold:
(a) There exists complex separable Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{F}_{0)}, \mathcal{F}_{[0}$ and an unitary operator $U_0 : \mathcal{F}_{0)} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{[0} \to \mathcal{H}$ so that $U_0^* \mathcal{A}_{[0} U_0 = I_{\mathcal{F}_{0)}} \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}_{[0})$,
(b) $(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}_{[0}), \beta_t,\ t \geq 0, \psi)$ is an unital $*$-endomorphisms, where $\beta_t(X) = U_0^* \alpha_t(U_0(I_{\mathcal{F}_{0)}} \otimes X)U_0^*)U_0, \quad t \geq 0$ and $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}_{[0})$ and the normal state

$\psi(X) = \phi(U_0(I_{\mathcal{F}_{0)}} \otimes X)U_0^*)$, $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}_{[0})$ is invariant for (β_t);
(c) Let P_0 be the support projection in $\mathcal{F}_{[0}$ of ψ and $\mathcal{K}_0$ be the Hilbert subspace P_0, then

(i) $P_0\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}_{[0})P_0$ is isomorphic to $\pi_{\phi_0}(\mathcal{A}_0)$, where π_{ϕ_0} is the GNS representation associated with ϕ_0;
(ii) $U_0^* j_0(x) U_0 \equiv I_{\mathcal{F}_{0)}} \otimes \pi_{\phi_0}(x)$;
(iii) $\pi_{\phi_0}(\tau_t(x)) \equiv P_0\beta_t(P_0\pi_{\phi_0}(x)P_0)P_0$ for any $x \in \mathcal{A}_0$ and $t \geq 0$;
(d) The von-Neumann algebra generated by $\{\beta_t(P_0xP_0) : t \geq 0, x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_0)\}$ is $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}_{[0})$;
(e) The set $\{\beta_{t_n}(P_0x_nP_0)...\beta_{t_1}(P_0x_1P_0)f : f \in \mathcal{H}_0, x_1,,,x_n \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_0), 0 \leq t_1 \leq ... \leq t_n,\ n \geq 1\}$ is total in $\mathcal{F}_{[0}$;
(f) $U_0^* F_{[0}\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})F_{[0}U_0 \equiv \pi_{\phi_0}(\mathcal{A}_0) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}_{[0})$;

PROOF: (a) follows since $\mathcal{A}_{[0}$ is also a type-I factor by Proposition 4.1. (b) is simple to verify. For (c) we recall $j_0(1) = F_{0]} \in \mathcal{A}_{[0}$. Hence $U_0^*F_{0]}U_0 = I_{\mathcal{F}_{(0}} \otimes P$ where P is a projection in $\mathcal{F}_{0]}$. However $\psi(P) = 1$, hence $P \geq P_0$. We claim that $P = P_0$. To that end note that $U_0(I_{\mathcal{F}_{(0}} \otimes P_0)U_0^* \leq F_{0]}$, hence $U_0(I_{\mathcal{H}_{(0}} \otimes P_0)U_0^* = j_0(x)$ for some projection $x \in \mathcal{A}_0$. But $\phi(x) = \phi_0(x^*x) = 1$, hence $x = 1$ by faithfulness of ϕ_0. Thus $U_0^*F_{0]}U_0 = I_{\mathcal{F}_{(0}} \otimes P_0$ and $U_0^*j_0(x)U_0 = P_0xP_0$, where we have identified $\mathcal{A}_0$ with $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}_0)$. Now it is routine to verify (c) using (b). (d) is rather obvious now by (a) and statement (i) of (c). (e) is trivial once we use (d). (f) is rather delicate. To that end first note that $F_{[0} \in \mathcal{A}'_{[0}$, the commutant of $\mathcal{A}_{[0}$, in fact little more is true, $F_{[0} \in \mathcal{A}^b_{0]}$, the von-Neumann algebra generated by the the backward processes $\{j^b_t(x) : t \leq 0, x \in \mathcal{A}_0\}$ and $\mathcal{A}^b_{0]} \subseteq \mathcal{A}'_{[0}$. Thus $U_0^*F_{[0}U_0 = Q_0 \otimes I_{\mathcal{F}_{[0}}$ where Q_0 is a projection in $\mathcal{F}_{(0}$. Now we follow the steps in the proof of (c) applied to the backward process to conclude that Q_0 is the support projection for ψ once restricted to $\mathcal{A}^b_{0]}$. It is simple to note that $U_0^* \mathcal{A}^b_{0]} U_0 \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}_{(0)}) \otimes I_{\mathcal{F}_{0]}}$. We claim that the equality hold. This follows once we recall from the proof of Proposition 4.1 that von-Neumann algebra $\mathcal{A}_{[0}$ together with $\mathcal{A}^b_{0]}$ generate $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Since $Q_0 \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}_{(0})Q_0$ is isomorphic to $\pi_{\phi_0}(\mathcal{A}_0))$, (f) follows. $\blacksquare$

6 Pure state on the two sided quantum spin chain:

In this section we essentially recall basic facts on Cuntz algebras presented as in [BJKW] and investigate when a translation invariant state on quantum spin chain is pure. This in particular answers an important question how Kolmogorov's property of the associated Popescu system is related with purity of the state. Perhaps it is the most interesting application of our result obtained in section 3.

First we recall that if $d \in \{2, 3, .., \}$, the Cuntz algebra is the universal C^*-algebra generated by elements $\{s_1, s_2, ..., s_d\}$ subject to the relations:

$$s_i^* s_j = \delta^i_j 1$$

$$\sum_{1 \le i \le d} s_i s_i^* = 1.$$

There is a canonical action of the group $U(d)$ of unitary $d \times d$ matrices on $\mathcal{O}_d$ given by

$$\beta_g(s_i) = \sum_{1 \le j \le d} \overline{g^j_i} s_j$$

for $g = ((g^i_j) \in U(d)$. In particular the gauge action is defined by

$$\beta_z(s_i) = z s_i, \;\; z \in I\!\!T \subset I\!\!C$$

If UHF$_d$ is the fixed point subalgebra under the gauge action, then UHF$_d$ is the closure of the linear span of all wick ordered monomials of the form

$$s_{i_1}...s_{i_k}s^*_{j_k}...s^*_{j_1}$$

which is also isomorphic to the UHF$_d$ algebra

$$M_{d^\infty} = \otimes^\infty_1 M_d$$

so that the isomorphism carries the wick ordered monomial above into the matrix element

$$e^{i_1}_{j_1}(1) \otimes e^{i_2}_{j_2}(2) \otimes .... \otimes e^{i_k}_{j_k}(k) \otimes 1 \otimes 1....$$

and the restriction of β_g to UHF_d is then carried into action

$$Ad(g) \otimes Ad(g) \otimes Ad(g) \otimes ....$$

We also define the canonical endomorphism λ on $\mathcal{O}_d$ by

$$\lambda(x) = \sum_{1 \le i \le d} s_i x s^*_i$$

and the isomorphism carries λ restricted to UHF$_d$ in the one-sided shift

$$y_1 \otimes y_2 \otimes ... \rightarrow 1 \otimes y_1 \otimes y_2....$$

on $\otimes^\infty_1 M_d$. Note that $\lambda\beta_g = \beta_g\lambda$ on UHF$_d$.

Let $d \in \{2,3,..,,..\}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_d$ be a set of d elements. $\mathcal{I}$ be the set of finite sequences $I = (i_1, i_2, ..., i_m)$ where $i_k \in \mathbb{Z}_d$ and $m \ge 1$. We also include empty set $\emptyset \in \mathcal{I}$ and set $s_\emptyset = 1 = s^*_\emptyset$, $s_I = s_{i_1}......s_{i_m} \in \mathcal{O}_d$ and $s^*_I = s^*_{i_m}...s^*_{i_1} \in \mathcal{O}_d$. In the following we recall from [BJKW] a crucial result originated in [Po,BJP].

THEOREM 6.1: There exists a canonical one-one correspondence between the following objects:

(a) States $\hat{\omega}$ on $\mathcal{O}_d$

(b) Function $C : \mathcal{I} \times \mathcal{I} \to I\!\!C$ with the following properties:

(i) $C(\emptyset, \emptyset) = 1$; (ii) for any function $\lambda : \mathcal{I} \to I\!\!C$ with finite support we have

$$\sum_{I,J \in \mathcal{I}} \overline{\lambda(I)} C(I,J) \lambda(J) \geq 0$$

(iii) $\sum_{i \in Z\!\!\!Z_d} C(Ii, Ji) = C(I,J)$ for all $I, J \in \mathcal{I}$.

(c) Unitary equivalence class of objects $(\mathcal{K}, \Omega, V_1, .., V_d)$ where

(i) $\mathcal{K}$ is a Hilbert space and Ω is an unit vector in $\mathcal{K}$; (ii) $V_1, .., V_d \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ so that $\sum_{i \in Z\!\!\!Z_d} V_i V_i^* = 1$; (iii) the linear span of the vectors of the form $V_I^* \Omega$, where $I \in \mathcal{I}$, is dense in $\mathcal{K}$.

Where the correspondence is given by a unique completely positive map $R : \mathcal{O}_d \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ so that

(i) $R(s_I s_J^*) = V_I V_J^*$;

(ii) $\hat{\omega}(x) =< \Omega, R(x)\Omega >$;

(iii) $\hat{\omega}(s_I s_J^*) = C(I,J) =< V_I^* \Omega, V_J^* \Omega >$.

(i) For any fix $g \in U_d$ and the completely positive map $R_g : \mathcal{O}_d \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ defined by $R_g = R \circ \beta_g$ give rises to a Popescu system given by $(\mathcal{K}, \Omega, \beta_g(V_i), .., \beta_g(V_d))$ where $\beta_g(V_i) = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq d} \overline{g^i_j} V_j$.

Let ω be a translation invariant ergodic states (extremal states) on UHF$_d$ algebra $\otimes_{I\!\!N} M_d$. Following [BJKW, section 7], we consider the set

$$K_\omega = \{\psi : \psi \text{ is a state on } \mathcal{O}_d \text{ such that } \psi\lambda = \psi \text{ and } \psi_{|\mathrm{UHF}_d} = \omega\}$$

K_ω is a non empty convex and compact in weak topology. K_ω is a face in the λ invariant states since ω is extremal. We recall Lemma 7.4 of [BJKW] in the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 6.2: $\psi \in K$ is an extremal points in K if and only if ψ is a factor state and moreover all other extremal points have the form $\psi\beta_z$ for some $z \in I\!\!T$.

PROPOSITION 6.3: Let ω be an extremal point in UHF$_d$ C* algebra and then there exists a von-Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$ acting on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{K}$ so that the following hold:

(a) $\mathcal{M}$ is a factor.

(b) There exists $V_1, V_2, ..., V_d$ bounded operators on $\mathcal{M}$ so that $\tau^v(X) = \sum_{1 \le i \le d} V_i X V_i^*$ on $\mathcal{M}$ is an ergodic map with a faithful normal invariant state ϕ_0 on $\mathcal{M}$.

(c) For any $I = (i_1, i_2, ..., i_k), J = (j_1, j_2, ..., j_k)$ with $|I| = |J| < \infty$ we have $\omega(e^{i_1}_{j_1} \otimes e^{i_2}_{j_2} \otimes e^{i_3}_{j_3} \otimes ... \otimes e^{i_k}_{j_k}) = \phi_0(V_I V_J^*)$.

Conversely any λ invariant state ω on UHF$_d$ satisfying (a)-(c) is an extremal point.

PROOF: We fix an extremal point ψ in K_ω and consider the GNS space $(\mathcal{H}_{\pi_\psi}, \pi_\psi(\mathcal{O}_d), \Omega_\psi)$ associated with $(\mathcal{O}_d, \psi)$. Set $S_i = \pi_\psi(s_i)$ and consider the normal state ψ_Ω on $\pi(\mathcal{O}_d)''$ defined by $\psi_\Omega(X) =< \Omega, X\Omega >$. Thus $(\pi(\mathcal{O}_d)'', \Lambda, \psi)$ is an ergodic Markov map where

$$\Lambda(X) = \sum_{1 \le i \le d} S_i X S_i^*.$$

Let P be the support projection in $\pi_\psi(\mathcal{O}_d)''$ of the normal state ψ_Ω. Thus P be a sub-harmonic projection for β, thus by [FR,Mo1] we have

$$PS_i^*P = S_i^*P \tag{6.1}$$

and the reduce dynamic

$$\tau^v(x) = P\alpha(PxP)P \tag{6.2}$$

on $\mathcal{M} = P\pi_\psi(\mathcal{O}_d)''P$ is also ergodic with a faithful normal state ϕ where $\phi_0(x) = \psi_\Omega(PxP)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{M}$. Hence $\mathcal{M} = \{V_i, V_i^*\}''$ and $\mathcal{M}$ is a fac-

tor, where we defined $V_i = PS_iP$ for all $1 \le i \le d$. That (c) is satisfied follows by the relation (6.1). Conversely for any given family of Popescu system $(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{M}, V_i, \phi_0)$ we consider the minimal dilation $(\mathcal{H}, S_i, P)$ as described in [BJKW, Theorem 5.1] where
(a) $\mathcal{H}$ is a Hilbert space and P is a projection so that $P\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{K}$;
(b) The family of isometric operators $(S_i,\ 1 \le i \le d)$ satisfies Cuntz's relation $\sum_{1 \le i \le d} S_iS_i^* = 1$;
(c) $(1-P)S_i^*P = 0$ and P is cyclic for the representation i.e. the set $\{S_If : |I| < \infty, Pf = f,\ f \in \mathcal{H}\}$ is total in $\mathcal{H}$.

We define a unique state $\hat{\omega}$ on $\mathcal{O}_d$ by prescribing $\hat{\omega}(s_Is_J^*) = \phi(V_IV_J^*)$. Thus the GNS representation associated with $(\mathcal{O}_d, \hat{\omega})$ identifies with $\pi_{\hat{\omega}}(s_i) = S_i$. Now (c) guarantees that $\Lambda_n(P) \uparrow I$ as $n \uparrow \infty$. Hence Theorem 3.6 in [Mo1] ensures that the endomorphism $(\pi_{\hat{\omega}}(\mathcal{O}_d)'', \Lambda_n, \phi_\omega)$ is ergodic since the reduced dynamics $(\mathcal{M}, \tau_n^v, \phi)$ is so. Thus $\hat{\omega}$ is an extremal λ invariant state on $\mathcal{O}_d$. ■

Let ω' be a translation invariant ergodic state on UHF$_d$ algebra $\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} M_d$ and ω be the restriction of ω' to UHF$_d$ algebra $\mathcal{B}_0 = \otimes_{\mathbb{N}} M_d$. We fix any $\psi \in K_\omega$ an extremal point and consider the associated Popescu system as described in Proposition 6.3. Then a simple application of Theorem 3.6 says that the inductive limit state $\psi_{-\infty}$ on the inductive limit $(\mathcal{O}_d, \psi) \to^\lambda (\mathcal{O}_d, \psi) \to^\lambda (\mathcal{O}_d, \psi)$ is pure if and only if $\phi_0(\tau_n^v(x)\tau_n^v(y)) \to \phi_0(x)\phi_0(y)$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{M}$ as $n \to \infty$.

The von-Neumann algebra $\{S_IS_J^* : |I| = |J| < \infty\}''$ acts on the cyclic subspace $\mathcal{H}_{\pi_0}$ generated by the vector Ω. This is isomorphic with the GNS representation of associated with $(\mathcal{B}_0, \omega)$. The inductive limit $(\mathcal{B}_{-\infty}, \phi_{-\infty})$ described as in Proposition 3.6 associated with $(\mathcal{B}_0, \lambda_n,\ n \ge 0, \omega)$ is UHF$_d$ algebra $\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} M_d$ and the inductive limit state is ω'. Let Q be the support projection of the state ψ in $\pi_0(\mathcal{B}_0)''$ and $\mathcal{A}_0 = Q\pi(\mathcal{B}_0)''Q$. Since $\Lambda(Q) \ge Q$, from the identity $Q\Lambda(I-Q)Q=0$ we conclude that $(I-Q)S_i^*Q=0$ for all $1 \le i \le d$. However $Q \ge P$, where P is the support projection of ψ on $\pi(\mathcal{O}_d)''$. We set $l_i = QS_iQ$ and note that $\psi(S_IS_J^*) = \psi_0(l_Il_J^*)$ for all $|I| = |J| < \infty$, where $\psi_0(x) = \psi(QxQ)$ for $x \in \mathcal{A}_0$. Since $\bigcap_{n \ge 1} \Lambda_n(\pi_0(\mathcal{B}_0)'') = I\!\!C$, we have $||\Lambda^n(X) - \phi(X)I|| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, in particular, $\Lambda_n(Q) \uparrow I$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence $\{S_If : |I| < \infty,\ Qf = f, f \in \mathcal{H}_\pi\}$ is total in $\mathcal{H}_{\pi_0}$.

THEOREM 6.4: Let ω' be a translation invariant ergodic state on UHF$_d$ algebra $\otimes_{Z\!\!Z} M_d$. Then the following are equivalent:

(a) ω' is a pure state.

(b) $\psi_0(\eta_n(x)\eta_n(y)) \rightarrow \psi_0(x)\psi_0(y)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}_0$, where $\eta(x) = \sum_i l_ixl_i^*$ for all $x \in \mathcal{A}_0$.

PROOF: We consider the dynamics $(\mathcal{B}_0, \lambda_n, \psi)$ and appeal to Theorem 3.6. ■

That for a given ω', the Popescu system is uniquely determined modulo a unitary equivalence follows from Proposition 6.2 and Proposition 6.3. Thus the Markov semigroup $(\mathcal{A}_0, \eta, \psi_0)$ is also uniquely determined modulo a unitary conjugation. Thus the criterion appeared in Theorem 6.4 (b) is independent of the extremal point $\psi \in K_\omega$ that we have chosen.

COROLLARY 6.5: Let ω' be as in Theorem 6.4 and its restriction ω to UHF$_d$ algebra $\otimes_{I\!\!N} M_d$ be a type-I factor state. Then ω' is a pure state if and only if $(\mathcal{A}_0, \eta_n, \psi_0)$ is strongly mixing. In such a case $\mathcal{A}_0 = \mathcal{M}$ and $l_k = V_k$ for all $1 \le k \le d$.

PROOF: Since strong mixing is equivalent to Kolmogorov's property for a type-I von-Neumann algebra (see Theorem 4.7 in [Mo1]) the first part of the corollary follows from Theorem 6.4.

Since $\pi_\omega(\otimes_{I\!\!N} M_d$ is a type-I factor, the unique canonical normal endomorphism $\Lambda : \pi_\omega(\otimes_{I\!N} M_d))'' \rightarrow \pi_\omega(\otimes_{I\!N} M_d)''$ has Powers index d and $\Lambda(X) = \sum_{1 \le k \le d} S_i X S_i^*$ for all $X \in \pi_\omega(\otimes_{I\!N} M_d)''$ where (S_i) are elements in $\pi_\omega(\otimes_{I\!N} M_d)''$ satisfying Cunz's relations. For more details we refer to [BJP]. Since ω is an ergodic state, $\Lambda_n(Q) \uparrow I$ as $n \uparrow I$, where Q is the support projection of ω in $\pi_\omega(\otimes_{I\!N} M_d)''$. Since $\mathcal{A}_0$ is also a type-I, strong mixing and Kolmogorov's property are equivalent. In particular the adjoint Markov semigroup $(\tilde{\tau}_t)$ on $\mathcal{A}_0$ satisfies Kolmogorov's property, hence by Theorem 3.4, $||\tau_t - \phi_0|| \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0$. Hence by Theorem 3.3 $\bigcap_{n \ge 1} \Lambda_n(\pi_\omega(\otimes_{I\!N} M_d)'') = I\!\!C$. That is equivalent to $\{S_I S_J^* : |I| = |J| < \infty\}'' = \pi_\omega(\otimes_{I\!N} M_d)''$. Since $S_i \in \pi_\omega(\otimes_{I\!N} M_d)''$ by our construction we conclude that $\{S_I S_J^* : |I| = |J| < \infty\}'' = \{S_i, S_i^* : 1 \le i \le d\}''$. Thus for a type-I factor strongly mixing $(\mathcal{A}_0, \eta_n, \psi_0)$ system, we find that $\mathcal{A}_0 = \mathcal{M}$ and $l_k = V_k$. ■

The above corollary enable us to construct a pure state on the UHF$_d$ algebra $\otimes_{Z\!\!Z} M_d$ so that its restriction on $\otimes_{I\!N} M_d$) is a type-I factor state (see [BJP], [BJKW], [Ma2]). For an explicit example of a pure state on $\otimes_{Z\!\!Z} M_d$ which give rise to a type-III factor on $\otimes_{I\!N} M_d$), we refer to [Ma1]. Is it possible to construct a pure state so that its restriction to one sided chain will be type-II? It is not hard to realize that it is impossible if we demand hyperfinite type-II$_1$ factor state. A proof and more results follow in the next section.

7 Jones index of a quantum dynamical semigroup on II$_1$ factor:

In this section we continue our investigation in the general framework of section 4 and eventually study the case when $\mathcal{A}_0$ is type-II$_1$.

PROPOSITION 7.1: Let $(\mathcal{A}_0, \tau_t, \phi_0)$ be a dynamical system as in Theorem

4.1. If $\mathcal{A}_{[0}$ is a type-II$_1$ factor which admits a unique normalize faithful normal tracial state then the following hold:

(a) $F_{t]} = I$ for all $t \in I\!\!R$;

(b) $\tau = (\tau_t)$ is a semigroup of $*-$endomorphisms.

(c) $\mathcal{A}_{[0} = j_0(\mathcal{A}_0)$.

PROOF: Let tr_0 be the unique normalize faithful normal trace on $\mathcal{A}_{[0}$. For any fix $t \ge 0$ we set a normal state ϕ_t on $\mathcal{A}_{[0}$ by $\phi_t(x) = tr_0(\alpha_t(x))$. It is simple to check that it is also a faithful normal trace. Since $\alpha_t(I) = I$, by uniqueness $\phi_t = tr_0$. In particular $tr_0(F_{0]}) = tr_0(\alpha_t(F_{0]}) = tr_0(F_{t]})$, by faithful property $F_{t]} = F_{0]}$ for all $t \ge 0$. Since $F_{t]} \uparrow 1$ as $t \to \infty$ we have $F_{0]} = I$. Hence $F_{t]} = \alpha_t(F_{0]}) = I$ for all $t \in I\!\!R$. This proves (a). For (b) and (c) we recall that $F_{0]} j_t(x) F_{0]} = j_0(\tau_t(x))$ for all $t \ge 0$ and $j_t : \mathcal{A}_0 \to \mathcal{A}_{[t}$ is an injective $*-$homomorphism. Since $F_{t]} = F_{0]} = I$ we have $j_t(x) = F_{0]} j_t(x) F_{0]} = j_0(\tau_t(x))$. Hence $\mathcal{A}_{[0} = j_0(\mathcal{A}_0)$ and $j_0(\tau_t(x)\tau_t(y)) = j_0(\tau_t(xy))$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}_0$. Now by injective property of j_0, we verify (b). This completes the proof. ■

THEOREM 7.2: Let ω' be a pure state on UHF$_d$ algebra $\otimes_{Z\!\!\!Z} M_d$ then the restriction ω of ω' to the UHF$_d$ algebra $\otimes_{I\!\!N} M_d$ is not a hyperfinite type-II$_1$ factor.

PROOF: We first recall quantum dynamical semigroup $(\mathcal{A}_0, \eta_t, \psi_0)$ described as in Theorem 6.4 and consider associated stationary minimal weak Markov processes (j_t). The von-Neumann algebra $\mathcal{A}_{[0}$ is isomorphic to a sub-algebra of $\pi(\otimes_{I\!\!N} M_d)''$. In case $\pi(\otimes_{I\!\!N} M_d)''$ is a type-II$_1$ factor, $\mathcal{A}_0$ is also a type-II$_1$ factor. By Tomiyama's property [BR] we also note that $\mathcal{A}_0$ is a hyperfinite factor for hyperfinite $\pi(\otimes_{I\!\!N} M_d)''$. In such a case by Theorem 4.1 $\mathcal{A}_{[0}$ is also a type-II hyperfinite factor. Since $\mathcal{A}_{[0}$ is isomorphic to a von-Neumann subalgebra of $\pi(\otimes_{I\!\!N} M_d)''$ which is a type-II$_1$ factor, we conclude that $\mathcal{A}_{[0}$ is also a hyperfinite type-II$_1$ factor. Hence by Proposition 7.1 (c), (η_t) is a semigroup of $*-$endomorphisms and so $\psi_0(\eta_t(x)\eta_t(y)) = \psi_0(xy)$ for all $t \geq 0$. Thus by Theorem 6.4 ω is pure if and only if $\psi_0(xy) = \psi_0(x)\psi_0(y)$ i.e. $x = \psi_0(x)I$ for all $x \in \mathcal{A}_0$. This clearly contradicts that $\mathcal{A}_0$ is a type-II$_1$ factor. ∎

We continue once more now our general case and fix a type-II$_1$ factor $\mathcal{A}_0$ which admits a unique normalize faithful normal tracial state. Since $\mathcal{A}_{[0}$ is a type-II factor whenever $\mathcal{A}_0$ is so, we conclude that $\mathcal{A}_{[0}$ is a type-II$_\infty$ factor whenever τ_t is not an endomorphism on a such a type-II$_1$ factor. The following proposition says much more.

THEOREM 7.3: Let $\mathcal{A}_0$ be a type-II$_1$ factor with a unique normalize normal trace and $(\mathcal{A}_0, \tau_t, \phi_0)$ be a dynamical system as in Theorem 4.1. Then the following hold:

(a) $j_0(I)$ is a finite projection in $\mathcal{A}_{[0}$ and there exists a type-II$_1$ factor $\mathcal{M}_0$ isomorphic to $\mathcal{A}_0$ so that $\mathcal{A}_{[0} = \mathcal{M}_0 \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}_{[0})$ where $\mathcal{F}_{[0}$ is a complex separable Hilbert space.

(b) There exists a tower of type-II$_1$ factors $\mathcal{M}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{M}_s ... \subseteq \mathcal{M}_t \subseteq ..,\ t \geq s \geq 0$ acting on a complex separable Hilbert space $\mathcal{F}_0$ so that $\mathcal{A}_{[-t}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}_t \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}_{[t})$, where for each $t \geq 0$, $\mathcal{F}_{[t}$ is a complex separable Hilbert space.

PROOF: By Theorem 4.1 $\mathcal{A}_{[0}$ is a type-II factor. Thus $\mathcal{A}_{[0}$ is either type-II$_1$ or type-II$_\infty$. In case it is type-II$_1$, Theorem 4.5 says that $\mathcal{A}_{[-t}$ is $j_0(\mathcal{A}_0)$, hence the statements (a) and (b) are true with $\mathcal{M}_n = j_0(\mathcal{A}_0)$ and the Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{F}_{[t}$ are $\mathbb{C}$. Thus it is good enough if we prove (a) and (b) when $\mathcal{A}_{[0}$ is indeed a type-II$_\infty$ factor. To that end we fix a normal faithful trace tr on $\mathcal{A}_{[0}$ and consider the map $x \rightarrow tr(j_0(x)$ for $x \in \mathcal{A}_0$. It is a normal faithful trace on $\mathcal{A}_0$, hence it is a scaler multiple of the unique trace. Thus $j_0(I)$ is a finite projection in $\mathcal{A}_{[0}$. Now the general theory on von-Neumann algebra [Sak] guarantees the result once we recall that $j_0(\mathcal{A}_0) = j_0(I)\mathcal{A}_{[0}j_0(I)$. This proves the statement (a). For the second statement note also that $j_0(I)$ is a finite projection in $\mathcal{A}_{[-t}$ for any $t \geq 0$, thus $j_0(I)\mathcal{A}_{[-t}j_0(I)$ is a type-II_1 factor acting on $F_{0]}$. So once more we appeal to the general theory [Sak] for isomorphism with $\mathcal{M}_t \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}_{[t})$. The inclusion relations follow from the inclusion relations $\mathcal{A}_{[-s} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{[-t}$ where $t \geq s$ ■

We first recall Jones's index of a sub-factor originated to understand the structure of inclusions of von Neumann factors of type II_1. Let N be a sub-factor of a finite factor M. M acts naturally as left multiplication on $L^2(M, tr)$, where tr be the normalize normal trace. The projection $E_0 = [N\omega] \in N'$, where ω is the unit trace vector i.e. $tr(x) =< \omega, x\omega >$ for $x \in M$, determines a conditional expectation $E(x) = E_0 x E_0$ on N. If the commutant N' is not a finite factor, we define the index $[M : N]$ to be infinite. In case N' is also a finite factor, acting on $L^2(M, tr)$, then the index $[M : N]$ of sub-factors is defined as $tr(E_0)^{-1}$, which is the Murray-von Neumann coupling constant [MuN] of N in the standard representation $L^2(M, tr)$. Clearly index is an invariance for the sub-factors. Jones proved $[M : N] \in \{4\cos^2(\pi/n) : n = 3, 4, \cdots\} \cup [4, \infty]$ with all values being realized for some inclusion $N \subseteq M$.

Let $\mathcal{A}_0$ be a type-II_1 factor and τ be a normal completely positive unital normal map with a faithful normal invariant state ϕ_0. We consider the dynamics $(\mathcal{A}_0, \tau_n,\ n \geq 0, \phi_0)$ where $\tau_n = \tau \circ \tau ... \circ \tau$ (n fold) and $\tau_0 = I$, the identity map and the associated tower of II_1 factors $\mathcal{M}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{M}_1 \subseteq ... \mathcal{M}_n \subseteq$ acting on the complex separable Hilbert space $\mathcal{F}_0$ described as in Theorem 4.6. Thus the infinite sequence of Jones index $\{[\mathcal{M}_{k+1} : \mathcal{M}_k],\ k = 0, 1, ..\}$ associated with the canonical tower $\mathcal{M}_k : k \geq 0$ of II_1 factors is an invariance for the dynamics $(\mathcal{A}_0, \tau, \phi_0)$. One aim to investigate how this tower $(\mathcal{M}_k :\geq 0)$ is related with

Jones's tower of type-II_1 factors.

To that end we review now Jones's construction [Jo, OhP]. Let ϕ_0 be the unique normalize normal trace. The algebra $\mathcal{A}_0$ acts on $L^2(\mathcal{A}_0, \phi_0)$ by left multiplication $\pi_0(y)x = yx$ for $x \in L^2(\mathcal{A}_0, \phi_0)$. Let ω be the cyclic and separating trace vector in $L^2(\mathcal{A}_0, \phi_0)$. The projection $E_0 = [\mathcal{B}_0 \omega]$ induces a trace preserving conditional expectation $\tau : a \to E_0 a E_0$ of $\mathcal{A}_0$ onto $\mathcal{B}_0$. Thus $E_0 \pi_0(y) E_0 = E_0 \pi_0(E(y)) E_0$ for all $y \in \mathcal{A}_0$. Let $\mathcal{A}_1$ be the von-Neumann algebra $\{\pi_0(\mathcal{A}_0), E_0\}''$. $\mathcal{A}_1$ is also a type-II_1 factor and $\mathcal{A}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{A}_1$, where we have identified $\pi_0(\mathcal{A}_0)$ with $\mathcal{A}_0$. Jones proved that $[\mathcal{A}_1 : \mathcal{A}_0] = [\mathcal{A}_0 : \mathcal{B}_0]$. Now by repeating this canonical method we get an increasing tower of type-II_1 factors $\mathcal{A}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{A}_2 ...$ so that $[\mathcal{A}_{k+1} : \mathcal{A}_k] = [\mathcal{A}_0 : \mathcal{B}_0]$ for all $k \geq 0$. Thus the natural question: How Jones tower $\mathcal{A}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{A}_1 \subseteq ... \subseteq \mathcal{A}_k ...$ is related with the tower $\mathcal{M}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{M}_1 ... \mathcal{M}_k \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{k+1}$ associated with the dynamics $(\mathcal{A}_0, \tau_n, \phi_0)$?

To that end recall the von-Neumann sub-factors $\mathcal{M}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{M}_1$ and the induced representation of $\mathcal{M}_1$ on Hilbert subspace $H_{[-1,0]}$ generated by $\{j_0(x_0) j_{-1}(x_{-1}) \Omega : x_0, x_{-1} \in \mathcal{A}_0\}$. Ω is the trace vector for $\mathcal{M}_0$ i.e. $\phi_0(x) =< \Omega, j_0(x) \Omega >$. It is the trace vector for $\mathcal{M}_1$ if and only if $\mathcal{M}_1 = \mathcal{M}_0$, (for trace vector we check that $\phi_0(\tau(x) y \tau(z) = \phi(j_0(x) j_{-1}(y) j_0(z) = \phi_0(\tau(zx)y))$ for any $x, y, z \in \mathcal{A}_0$). Nevertheless there exists a unique normalize trace on $\mathcal{M}_1$, being a type-II_1 factor.

THEOREM 7.4: $[\mathcal{M}_1 : \mathcal{M}_0] > [\mathcal{A}_0 : \mathcal{B}_0]$.

PROOF: Let ϕ_1 be the unique normalize normal trace on $\mathcal{A}_1$ and $\mathcal{H}_1 = L^2(\mathcal{A}_1, \phi_1)$. We consider the left action $\pi_1(x) : y \to xy$ of $\mathcal{A}_1$ on $\mathcal{H}_1$. Thus $\pi_0(\mathcal{A}_0)$ is also acting on $\mathcal{H}_1$. Since $E_0 \pi_0(x) E_0 = E_0 \pi_0(\tau(x)) E_0 = E_0 \pi_0(\tau(x))$, for any element $X \in \mathcal{A}_1$, $E_0 X = E_0 \pi_0(x)$ for some $x \in \mathcal{A}_0$. Thus $\pi_1(E_0)$ is the projection on the subspace $\{E_0\pi_0(x) : x \in \mathcal{A}_0\}$.

For any $y \in \mathcal{A}_0$ we set
(a) $k_{-1}(y)$ on the subspace $\pi_1(E_0)$ by $j_{-1}(y)E_0\pi_0(x) = E_0\pi_0(yx)$ for $x \in \mathcal{A}_0$ and extend it to $\mathcal{H}_1$ trivially.
(b) $k_0(y)x = \pi_0(y)x$ for $x \in \mathcal{A}_1$

For $y, z \in \mathcal{A}_0$ we verify that

$$< E_0\pi_0(y), k_{-1}(1)k_0(x)k_{-1}(1)E_0\pi_0(z) >_1 = < E_0\pi_0(y), E_0\pi_0(x)E_0\pi_0(z) >_1$$

$$= < E_0\pi_0(y), E_0\pi_0(\tau(x))E_0\pi_0(z) >_1$$

$$= < E_0\pi_0(y), E_0\pi_0(\tau(x))\pi_0(z) >_1$$

Thus $k_{-1}(1)k_0(x)k_{-1}(1) = k_{-1}(\tau(x))$ for all $x \in \mathcal{A}_0$. Note that $k_{-1}(1) = \pi_1(E_0)$ and the identity operator in $\mathcal{H}_1$ is a cyclic vector for the weak Markov process and thus by uniqueness of minimal weak Markov processes associated with $(\mathcal{A}_0, \tau, \phi_0)$, $\{k_{-1}(\mathcal{A}_0), k_0(\mathcal{A}_0)\}''$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}_1$. Since $k_{-1}(1) = \pi_1(E_0)$, $\mathcal{A}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{M}_1$. In fact strict inclusion hold unless $\mathcal{B}_0 = \mathcal{A}_0$. Thus $[\mathcal{M}_1 : \mathcal{A}_1] > 1$. Since $[\mathcal{M}_1 : \mathcal{M}_0] = [\mathcal{M}_1 : \mathcal{A}_1][\mathcal{A}_1 : \mathcal{M}_0]$ and $[\mathcal{A}_1 : \mathcal{A}_0] = [\mathcal{A}_0 : \mathcal{B}_0]$, we conclude the result. ■

Thus for any finite sub-factor $\mathcal{B}_0$ of a type-II$_1$ factor we could associated via a canonical method a sequence of Jones numbers $\{[\mathcal{M}_k : \mathcal{M}_{k-1}],\ k \geq 0\}$ as invariance for the inclusion $\mathcal{B}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{A}_0$, where we set $\mathcal{M}_{-1} = \mathcal{B}_0$.

REFERENCES

- [AM] Accardi, L., Mohari, A.: Time reflected Markov processes. Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top., vol-2, no-3, 397-425 (1999).

- [AW] Araki, H., Woods, E.J.: Complete boolean algebras of type I factors, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. Series A, vol-II (1966) 157-242.

- [Ar] Arveson, W.: Pure E_0-semigroups and absorbing states, Comm. Math. Phys. 187 , no.1, 19-43, (1997)

- [Bh] Bhat, B.V.R.: An index theory for quantum dynamical semigroups, Trans. Amer. Maths. Soc. vol-348, no-2 561-583 (1996).

- [BP] Bhat, B.V.R., Parthasarathy, K.R.: Kolmogorov's existence theorem for Markov processes on C^*-algebras, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. 104,1994, p-253-262.

- [BR] Bratelli, Ola., Robinson, D.W. : Operator algebras and quantum statistical mechanics, I,II, Springer 1981.

- [BJ] Bratteli, Ola; Jorgensen, Palle E. T. Endomorphism of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, II, Finitely correlated states on $\mathcal{O}_N$, J. Functional Analysis 145, 323-373 (1997).

- [BJP] Bratelli, Ola., Jorgensen, Palle E.T. and Price, G.L.: Endomorphism of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, Quantization, nonlinear partial differential equations, Operator algebras, (Cambridge, MA, 1994), 93-138, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math 59, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RT 1996.

- [BJKW] Bratelli, O., Jorgensen, Palle E.T., Kishimoto, Akitaka and Werner Reinhard F.: Pure states on $\mathcal{O}_d$, J.Operator Theory 43 (2000), no-1, 97-143.

- [Da] Davies, E.B.: Quantum Theory of open systems, Academic press, 1976.

- [El] Elliot. G. A.: On approximately finite dimensional von-Neumann algebras I and II, Math. Scand. 39 (1976), 91-101; Canad. Math. Bull. 21 (1978), no. 4, 415–418.
- [FNW1] Fannes, M., Nachtergaele,D., Werner,R.: Finitely Correlated States on Quantum Spin Chains, Commun. Math. Phys. 144, 443-490 (1992).
- [FNW2] Fannes, M., Nachtergaele,D., Werner,R.: Finitely Correlated pure states, J. Funct. Anal. 120, 511-534 (1994).
- [Fr] Frigerio, A.: Stationary states of quantum dynamical semigroups. Commun. Math. Phys. 63, 269-276 (1978).
- [Jo] Jones, V. F. R.: Index for subfactors. Invent. Math. 72 (1983), no. 1, 1–25.
- [Li] Lindblad, G. : On the generators of quantum dynamical semigroups, Commun. Math. Phys. 48, 119-130 (1976).
- [Ma1] Matsui, T.: A characterization of pure finitely correlated states. Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top. 1 (1998), no. 4, 647–661.
- [Ma2] Matsui, T.: The split property and the symmetry breaking of the quantum spin chain, Comm. Maths. Phys vol-218, 293-416 (2001).
- [Mo1] Mohari, A.: Markov shift in non-commutative probability, Jour. Func. Anal. 199 (2003) 189-209.
- [Mo2] Mohari, A.: Endomorphisms on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, preprint.

- [Mo3] Mohari, A.: Quantum detailed balance and split property in quantum spin chain, Submitted to Communication in Mathematical Physics, 2004.

- [MuN] Murray, F. J.; von Neumann, J., On rings of operators. (English)[J] Ann. Math., Princeton, (2)37, 116-229.

- [OP] Ohya, M., Petz, D.: Quantum entropy and its use, Text and monograph in physics, Springer-Verlag 1995.

- [Po] Powers, Robert T.: An index theory for semigroups of $*$-endomorphisms of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and type II_1 factors. Canad. J. Math. 40 (1988), no. 1, 86–114.

- [Sak] Sakai, S.: C*-algebras and W*-algebras, Springer 1971.

- [Sa] Sauvageot, Jean-Luc: Markov quantum semigroups admit covariant Markov C^*-dilations. Comm. Math. Phys. 106 (1986), no. 1, 91103.

- [So] Stormer, Erling : On projection maps of von Neumann algebras. Math. Scand. 30 (1972), 46–50.

- [Vi] Vincent-Smith, G. F.: Dilation of a dissipative quantum dynamical system to a quantum Markov process. Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 49 (1984), no. 1, 5872.